

TPNRD / CPNRD

425

Chg 962 to allow to ask on own - to be re-eval. -  
one criteria done - ask Dept. to re-design. F/A  
area -

⊛ Starting point for which depletion offset to start.

CRSP - 36,100 acres applied as of 1 yr ago -

13,000 this yr.

25,000 Reg -

11,000 Platte.

2,100 below m.c.

RB - Surprised re. other sta 28/40. Proposed on O-H+  
whole district. When Dept. come in, RB thought  
would see moving line out + dev't.

F/A = inf. stay in same area as now. (28/40) -  
Law says - 46-720(3)(b) -

TK - Mgmt. Area - could put in whole area.

RB - Rewrite GWR. Plan - send to state - need v

bring - then adapt. Q whether could  
adapt now for IMP etc no IMP area.

⊛ - ??

JC - DC - Subj. to offset re: 7/16.

46-715(3)(c).

KM - Thought would be dealt w/ in 3-4 yrs.

RP - Could wait - this is building up.

JM - 3/7 - Mtg. - offset in F/A area outside 28/revlie  
1st head of that.

AB - duplication - later case bad +  
agreed not the case. [Ltr. resd].

JC discussion of Corp. Agree. - NAP - agrees  
state offset 7/1 thru '40s.

JM - Neg. Rules - NRDs offset by carrying up 7 projects.  
NPPD = deep power/ NRDs data.

RP - Don't want to or need to go there.

7/16/04 = Prelim. O-A.

9/16/04 = O-A design.

JM - GWM Plan revised last yr. Couldn't approve - flies  
in face B/C offset depletion...

Didn't want to speed rechy. - stuff for Crocker.

good imp. [GWM Plan as base + refine. - will work B/C  
behave instead of have to manage outside.  
if have to offset - a new ballgame.

⊗ Safe yield concept.

AB do work.

JM - Why IMP process?

RP - Use - another objective to figure how

to offset depletion since 7/04. A lot of  
ways to do that S-Hs can help figure out.

AB- To be sure clear - important to understand  
safe yield concept will not be suff. to deal  
w/ whole problem. Good interim step. Do  
know how much further you'll have to go.

RP- add 8/4 - to look at

Equity arg - given only 2 NADs in  
transition & there was an. did the  
offset get created...

NAD didn't lift step. - Took 2 arg +  
yet offsets??

(A) end of rulemaking process - criteria +  
whether could come back & ~~re-designate~~  
re-designate.

- 1) Stage 4 new design.
- 2) Starting point for offsets.

RP discussed w/ JL + DC.

46-74(12)(d) - stay effective 'til reevaluation.

RP - need new legis.

RB - Push us out a bit - haven't stopped.

RP - Current law adopt final rules -  
re-designate + put stage 1 + etc

Have legis. deal w/ clean-up.

④ 46-713 - Dept. resolve on its own.

Better re: if NPD petitions (w/ UNV + NP  
not a big issue).

Km - Bds. consider doing @ same time.

AB - If all dig in  $F/A =$  no ↑ CU + water (educ.  
+ in O-A - ?)

+ your exposure is small - by  
the spread out over everyone.  
probably small...

Km - How fix??

AB - E.g. "1/10" over owner's ability - write  
notice etc...

Km - Significance of 7/04 - forward.

1) Allow.

2) Replace water

3) Dig up acres elsewhere.

AB suggest, if insignificant - don't need to do

Then - don't need legis fix.

Jm - Coop Agree - offset for dewater well.

Idea re: what consumption is + do

offsets based on assumption.

Q = how much up of acre feet?

RP - Just need one guy below that disadvantage.

JM - & that will be Central.

AB - Still have to offset - Q is who does + how.

JM - if NRD needs to come up w/ plan - how do we proceed?

RP - Retired acres - nothing - etc.

JM - Not ready for that

RP - Stop level - don't accrue anything.

JM - Wished we'd done to start w/...

AB - Don't have to go to allow to offset.

Calc. 5K of ↑ CU + NRD sales  
and retire # of acres to offset.

JM - How?

RB - Buy.

RP - Regs. ~~to~~ or buy it. You want  
option of which way to go.

RP - Path = redesignate - buy.

RB - 50/110 + beyond = de-min. + no effect.  
Use COHYST?

RP - Yes.

RB - Not in position

AB - Are.

RP - Do as part of Regs. in Dec. -

Was COHYST so voters could say  
"adequate"

Was to do it that way so don't have to

Care back & do it again.

Jm - overlaps?

Who offset to?

RB - Both - re-do plan.

AB - If offset for Platte maybe good enough for long.

RB - Platte + long overlap.

AB - Don't back up train, just no ↑.

Redo plan. Offset cell in Plan A & in Plan B, the same.

RB - No - diff. offsets to diff. basins.

AB - Don't agree - will discuss later.

RP - Water bd. doesn't work if input = several basins - unless can trace back where from.

KM - Process -

use COH457?

RP - Agreed best tool avail. - if COH457 adeq. - will use that.

KM Process complete in Sept. '05.

TPNRP = 21 wells regis. 42806 } since 7/04.  
121 wells regis. 4875 acres.

Jm - Coop Agree = "State Offset" draft plan  
like not use 28/40?

RP - Connected.

Km - interim (I).

Since 3/7 - till the Pla (GWR) on budget +  
may be (I) of offsets.

do offset oblig. of indiv + NRO ca  
take

RB - can be indiv. if permit given...

RP - State < not interested in >

NRO < not interested in >

All other users, including person who applied  
pushes you to regulator.

Jm - CREP across - date advantage?

RP - We will.

Jm - Can NRO use as offset?

AB - Lys. Estab. Baseline of CU.

< Baseline date = 7/16 >

RB - When look @ (I) re: of ca do guidance -

Don't just look @ 46-713 - look @ initial  
law w. some evol. Don't want to wait til

Dec.

RP - Don't file petition 'til Dept. gets thing  
down.

Jm - Q re: date = Sept. vs. July?

RP - 46-715 (3)(c) - prelim = July.

TK - Pla helps.

Permits = more hassle

Gives more control in new title. As  
interim step - a good thing.

(\*) RB - Bd req. Thus. + wants to know by then.

RB- Tim on running COMPOST for 10/50.

Don't have separated out by stream.

AB- Thought we resolved - may be wrong. Was a (I).

AB → All vs. depletion offset.

RP- Offset depletion when hit river.

AB Agree in short term - but eventually need to have the balance.

RP/AB- Co. (I). Sources from outside.

AB - discuss with work long term.

Jm - Brought up in WPTF.

Cost - of Ag. Below nrc.

Bucks / Kraus.

Will they hold up whole where degraded stream?

Ag has stopped signif. + doesn't hold as it used to.

Wrens - cost = too expensive. Better die -  
me than Bucks + Kraus require will also  
be costly.

They've degraded areas largely - if we need  
offsets, they should refill Ag's.

RP- Don't know how I don't know that low reg's.

Idaho - low users - offset 134K at

Plan in 2 yrs.

if cases up at less - next yr 134 + 50  
balance prior.



if dirt core up 4 plan, will shut off jr  
GW users.

Km - 2 dist move forward jointly.

RP - until thing worked out - legs. cly. was  
over 40 NPPD + Central understanding.

Km - Thing etc. - then do bring the in.  
Need to discuss in above.