

Ann Diers

From: Ann Diers [adiers@dnr.state.ne.us]
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2005 2:16 PM
To: 'Dan Smith'
Cc: Ann Bleed (ableed@dnr.state.ne.us); Tina Kurtz (tkurtz@dnr.state.ne.us)
Subject: RE: LENRD letter

Dan:
Your email raises some interesting questions that bear further discussion. Tina and I will give you a call next week to discuss.

The letter to Stan was intended to clarify that increases in acres could not occur, unless pursuant to an exception to the stay that the NRD had determined to allow.

Ann

-----Original Message-----

From: Dan Smith [mailto:dsmith@mnrnd.org]
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2005 12:38 PM
To: adiers@dnr.state.ne.us
Subject: LENRD letter

Ann,

I am not sure your single answer to Stan Staab's first question is complete enough. There are at least three situations that can exist:

1. A registered well not yet used for irrigation. These acres would be included in the well registration database numbers/that were considered in making the determination/and there would not be a net increase in the irrigated acres on paper.

→ hx irrig.
→ still offset
→ up to NRD.

2. A registered "inactive well". This may or may not increase acres/depending on what numbers DNR looks at in making the determination. Could be the same as 1. if you only look at registered acres.

→ up to NRD
→ No offset

3. A newly constructed unregistered well. These numbers would not show up on the database and would be an overall increase in irrigated acres.

→ regis. w/in 60 days.
→ same as #1

~~~~~

Dan Smith, Manager  
Middle Republican Natural Resources District  
1-800-873-5613 308-367-4281  
dsmith@mnrnd.org

unregis. well irrigating land →  
↳ up to NRD re: "hx irrig."  
- no offset if NRD defo go.