

Don Kraus - Dennis Strawn - Brian Bards -

AB - ADD

S. Heggberg © 10:10

12
12

SW Exp. Rule

Landowner certification (I).

001.0203.

Need Landowner to sign.

Addendum - "by signing here, certifies to
info in Q ___."

DK - Adjud. (I).

AB - Where map doesn't map - but # acres as in
approp.

Can only transfer # you are irrigating.

DK - ^{transfers} Allow exp. trans. where no water at.
- ch adjud. - you'd cut the bad or those
acres.

AB - Good point.

ch our mapping process - can measure to
last foot, theoretically, but actual map
not that good.

A step back - "map = req." But #
acres = acres on right. If need to
get more specific - hire surveyor.

When adjudicated & doesn't hold. - To
approach in adjud. process that if
could have been corrected during

adjudication process - will correct it -
(they will no longer lose it)

001.02 D3 :

✓ Forms < Lardner sign off

Non-exp. - 962-10 - does require Lardner sig.

962-9 - doesn't req. Lardner sig. if
approp. not Lardner (in case
of ID).

Form 21-3 - <similar (size?) to 962-6>

001.02 D3 -

BB - Applicant cert cert. to this
unless is an ID.

End of 001.02 D3

Q - to deal w/ leads that are inq. +
not on map.

AB - rt.

cl. clean up - as long as in
one § + not inq. more than
water rt. - can transfer.

DS/AB - ID could clean up + move it

all in the same process.


46 - 291(b).

DK - "Thought caring. (Z) to clear up pages - this looks bigger to me."

BB - What does it add to first part?

AB - Can go start irrigation again if haven't used.

App. cert. water diverted has been used last 5 years



used to lose for non-use

BB - Sign for - same time

DK - Diff = "prim to eff. date."

AB - Water rt. - general location. Says in 30 acres. # of water rt. is water rt. - so - gen'l location would be same - do you need the 2nd??

BB - Does clarify.

AD - Whose (2)?

SH - 40 acre tract + not using 10 -
+ want to transfer + believe a 40
acre use.

AB - Pl. - Drop 2nd § + wire okay.

OK - need to think about take out for now.

Delete second cly. to 001.0203

SH - Specific tract tied to piece of ground?

AB - By 40 acre tract.

Digital use view - could be using 32
acres + tract is for 34

T/C

001.03 E -

Could say - if cty w/ GW well - can't use
expedited.

OK - "Dept. receive assurance ^{with} ~~that~~ ^{from the NRP that} ~~will be~~
~~using 4 GW prior to issuing order.~~
currently, ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~from~~ ^{from} ~~which~~ ^{which} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~transfer~~ ^{transfer} ~~occurs~~ ^{occurs} ~~will~~ ^{will}
~~not~~ ^{not} ~~be~~ ^{be} ~~using~~ ^{using} ~~4~~ ⁴ ~~GW~~ ^{GW} ~~following~~ ^{following} ~~the~~ ^{the}
~~transfer.~~ ^{transfer.}"

DK - Could dig back to Dennis Straus's
language after all IHPs done
←

AB - If NRP = no rules to address?

JThor - the a prob.

DS - The cut do.

AB - 1) Cut do under expedited.

2) Do under expedited & subj. to
expedited, btw request + IHP &
if no expedited rules??

3) If no expedited rules - can't be done.

DS - Done now?

AB - No - Not w/ this situation.

DS - The shouldn't be under expedited...

BB - If covered - another for for NRP??

SA - (I) re: figuring if covered. NRP goes
all over re: detail which covered.

DK - Should go public notice.

DS - See Q?

DK - No - see mining. dist - could be...

AB -

BB - Cert for both?

JThn - Yes - cert. all land is "logable of mining"
So called CNPPIB cert. to drill sup wells
Landowner proposed - cert. areas - need
ONLY 4 SW - more + some only

??

4 GW - We couldn't tell
him he couldn't take SW del.
@ A -

DK - see working 001.03 E - "OK for now"
When IMP done may change

BB - Just say "unless IMP"?

DK - Would have to see IMPs first.

JThn - unless Dept + NRD agree covered by IMP.

AB - leave as is for now.