

Ann Diers

From: Ann Diers [adiers@dnr.state.ne.us]
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 2:13 PM
To: 'david.cookson@ago.ne.gov'
Subject: RE: Municipal proposal clarification

Dave:
We have not received the further language that Lash referred to in his email of November 21st. All we received is the Industrial Water Use spreadsheet that you also received. I will forward you separately the draft proposal that Ann Bleed was working on during the last WPTF meeting. Ann

-----Original Message-----

From: david.cookson@ago.ne.gov [mailto:david.cookson@ago.ne.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 1:23 PM
To: Ann Diers
Subject: Municipal proposal clarification

Ann -

Apparently DNR made a clarification proposal to the municipal language. It doesn't appear that it was sent to me. Was this something that was discussed in North Platte? Could you send me the proposed language?

Thanks, Dave

David Cookson
Special Counsel to the Attorney General
State of Nebraska
402-471-2682


Type of Industry	Type of Use	Usage - gallons per year	Discharge/Recharge	% return
Food Processor	Food Processing	74,000,000	60,000,000	81.08%
Medical Manufacturer	Industrial	517,000,000	515,000,000	99.61%
Golf Course	Irrigation/Domestic	125,000,000	High %	
Meter Manufacturer	Industrial	50,359,848	22,795,300	45.26%
Food Processor	Food Processing	65,854,668	close to 100%	
Bagel Plant	Food Processing	6,429,060	close to 100%	
Pork Processor	Food Processing	240,000,000		
Oat Processor	Food Processing	16,425,000		
Bread Plant	Food Processing	14,465,000		
Rubber Part Processor	Industrial	2,444,464	close to 100%	
Beef Processor	Food Processing	224,400,000		
Pork Processor	Food Processing	127,160,000		
Manufacturing	Industrial	89,760,000		
Bottling	Industrial	58,344,000		
Baking	Food Processing	26,928,000		
Fertilizer Plant	Ag Processing	800,000,000		
Ethanol Plant	Ag Processing	428,356,412	196,008,164	45.76%
Meat Processing	Food Processing	138,139,892	38,769,588	28.07%
Meat Packing	Food Processing	38,769,588		
Hose Manufacturer	Industrial	38,689,380	31,911,206	82.48%
Pivot Manufacturer	Industrial	3,491,664	1,992,672	57.07%
Ethanol Plant	Ag Processing	176,400,000		
Beef Processor	Ag Processing	1,042,706,000		
Ethanol Plant	Ag Processing	175,000,000		
Wet Corn Milling	Ag Processing	2,900,000,000		
College	Domestic + Power	429,168,740	333,815,196	77.78%
State of Nebraska	Domestic	206,281,944	176,499,576	85.56%
Soybean Processor	Ag Processing	290,939,088	108,335,084	37.24%
Tire Manufacturer	Industrial	231,434,940		
Large Hospital	Domestic	103,048,968	85,019,924	82.50%
Large School District	Domestic	101,833,584	77,694,760	76.30%
Cold Storage	Industrial	36,597,045	35,735,325	97.65%
Aviation Part Manufacturer	Industrial	23,887,650	22,011,900	92.15%
Pipe Extrusion	Industrial	21,249,375	21,249,375	100.00%

Uses surface water

Note: Most of these examples include only wastewater flow. Some industries also discharge into the stormwater system, which might not be included in the discharge number.

11/30

Muni. Trans. (I)



- discuss }
 w/ NRDS so }
 know what }
 goes on }
 1) - Notice (by DNR)
 2) - Let city know of objection
 3) - SW - objects
 SW - doesn't - DNR makes decision.

Muni Transfers - Where ask for a lot of water into future - don't look at differently than have in past.

in FA area - will impact SW user unless offset above

AB - If adverse effect for SW user - different than NRD saying "will do offset".

SF - If impacts - a deal - breaker? AB - No.

Plan re. unit impact?

Quantifiable?

AB - Way to go = B/M advertising or notice - be sure city knows potential objection. Large then to cover base - by 1) Be sure well doesn't impact 2) Cut deal w/ SW irrigator

If agree to not take water - we adjust.

SF - No rules re. how far into future can request amount.

Condition to permit to request limitation.

AB - Don't want city to tie up too much water - need to think about this.

W/ no rules - negotiate (P) - all plain period.