

11/1

Muni Subcommittee Mtg.

Lash - Bob Reger - Pat

Mike Lucas Kent Miller - Ron Bishop - Jordan Ball - D Smith.

J. Turnbull -

Comments from Brian Bards ??

Roll Call

John Heil

Steve Heng

Jack Vavra

A. Bl.

Denis Smith

T. Cosh &

Ron Skokan &

R Bishop

Chris Hill

P Heil

Bob Reger

L.C.

J. Tun.

Gr Miller &

Sen Sch. &

D. Kn. &

Brian Bards &

Don Bl. &

Don Ed. &

Dave Cookson &

~~Don Sch~~

Don C.

K. Fin

Chit Jol

Kent Miller

Mike Lucas

J. Ball

LC - On Bl. + D.C. not a conf. call
Some (D) may not be resolved today
w/o drafts.

On Bl. wants send letter to
captures last Thurs.'s concept -
clgs to DB if minor.

Brian Bonds - emailed concern re:
Renal Exam (D).
Confusion how dealt w/.

Generally?

SH - "Annual alloc."

(Will call On Bl. re: that - needs clarif.)

JB - 1) Be sure isn't in the fine print now +
when effective + more could pass allocs -
"unless est. pre 1/1/05"

2) Refer to essence of control §§.
"with equal control order" 5/18
?

2nd H - "other controls" - not tight any

3rd H - 5/18 "prior 1/1"

JTun - Q re: inter. - "unless already est.," ?

JB - Think for new alloc. limit system

JTun - We have rules already in place -
but best at point of allocs.

LC - letter to deal w/ Repuls.

Didn't discuss BB.

JT - hate to see it undo what we've done.

Area won't be in IAP - if his struggles
grow - want to see the stay in place.

SH - Doesn't

JT - small geog. area will have to
cut back for city to do that.

AB - DNR will miss (I) too. [By up stream
by putting in wells]
controls need to make sure doesn't happen.

JT - Problem.

AB - Big picture - but cities use = small in
comparison. But - % of location
of depletion could be large impact
on a few indiv's.

SH - Resp. no matter what method use.

J

AB - 20 yrs?

LC - Yes.

AB - also "not more than"

Don't
address
46 - 715(3)(c)
Call D.B.
(*)

(D)

boundaries on how much NRD will make up.

LC - SH - Ru - Kart - Dr - DNR to work out. - sub comm.

may be less of an issue...

AB - just getting ground rules in law. need something in stats. to address.

LC - will coordinate.

→ JB + D Sr. will talk w/ DBI.

LC - other (I)'s.?

Brian (I)?

AB - need to deal w/ here.

Every way = do something similar w/ Murray's.

SH - Didn't hear TF talk sub. - Com. w/ it.

AB - Big (I) TF - could do in 2 parts.

LC - did have BB bring language to TF.

AB - He'll want it to parallel what we're doing.

larger small group to go over drafts w/ sent out.

LC - Will see how drafts look. Then decide whether to relet.

Plan (tentative) to meet 11/17 @ 7pm.

SH - Cochran + Bl. coordinate all groups w/ draft.

LC - If NRD wants to go higher 9B okay.

JB - "unless NRD provides more these are minimum levels"

NRD can be more generous.

AB - Not less than 200 ... unless adjusted by NRD.

TF will want to see upper limit on per capita.

LC - Leave to NRD.

JB - Can't go below - minimum level.

J Turn - Set minimum & let locals fix max.

LC - Will take care of self @ local level.

AB - Current no: 250 = too high.

"unless adjusted by NRD"

higher

LC - Come up w/ language + email to us all

D. B. Smith - Concept why applies to integers right as opposed to quantities (D)

AB - My understanding

JB - No.

LC - to Group as well

ADD to
to

①

K.Fr. - Trans. permit still valid but @
one pt. city provides offer.

RB - need to clarify.

JB - Complicates to try to solve everything -
just solve what were tasked to solve.

RB - if can solve transfer prob. - can solve
this.

Kniller - Dir want. as in phase being deflated.

RB - Dir want huge ones 4 yrs + yrs.
of development that WRD must
make up for.

AB - 20 yrs. growth - then per capita -
of water use - need to provide
an offer for that.

SH - @ end of 20 yr. period - for then
get unlimited domestic consumption.
Can get that permit?

AB - Can - but if want more, need
to provide offer.

SA - Facilities for next 20-30 yrs. this
free. No prob re protect domestic
consumption

RB - Can get Trans. permit - Dir

DS - Not sure acceptable.
Doesn't apply to current?

LC - No. Who else has Crown trigger?

DS - Ruyhin G.
N Plate
UNW

AB - Everyone has triggers -
result in alloc.
Where are there empty allocs?

DS - Don't there are way out here.
Have to be able to address quality
concerns apart from ~~IMP~~ IMP.

JBell - Applies to entire situation - instead
of formula minimum - 20 yr.
period in which no allocs / links.

DS - Will be acceptable - how many ①'s
re: quality.

LC - Silver - concerned re: TF Wm Area to
get here

JB - By Order

RBDS - What were supposed to do when probs.
need to address rather than being mandated
to allow growth.

AB - TF trying to deal w/ IMP side - whether
deal w/ total fix = another ①.

(B)

SH - Look at requirements -

AB - ↑ Cu - can't grant

LC - Struc. covers how to deal w/ permit.

AB - Easiest to NOT grant permit.
Don't like that's where we want to be.

JB - Permits pending...

AB - Different.

E.g. Kirball - no pending permit -
want to apply for new one.
+ was growth ^{potentially greater}
than DRD lots.

SA - def (P) - able + could 4/sec - mine
qua. growth beyond 20 yr. period

AB - See no way "that's as far as will go"
so no permit more than what you'd
get under law.

SH - Don't know how you'd argue favor.

LC - Big trans. permits probably won't
get written @/c no way to justify

@ DNR.

JB - Days when you'd ask for 50 yr growth
commitment are over. People won't
ask for + if not (P) - able won't get.

44

JB - mini's trigger for day 1 a minimum amount.

DS - A lot of diff. b/w min. amt. + 20 yr. growth

JB - Preferred formula growth - thought this might handle some thing.

DS - Concept - Coonan -

AB - 2 $\text{\textcircled{I}}$'s
1) city certainty - growth
2) NRO certainty - not huge offers to deal w/.

Need side boards - probably not ideal - one certainty to go both ways.

LC - need to discuss face to face - big $\text{\textcircled{I}}$

JB - Should it be opportunity to do thru control order what would've done thru Imp.

AB - Way to address 5/6 there. Fair for city to expect - but NRO needs tools if problems arise. Concept of Imp.

LC - Shore up assumptions.

AB - Small sub com.

LC - Do S. work w/ Do BE?

Do S. - Sure

LC - Jordan Ball

We assumed all along applied to GWM Area too. S/B one way.

AB - Good to list $\text{\textcircled{I}}$'s.

①

AB: ISSUES:

- 1) IMP vs. GWR Plan
- 2) Offsets
- 3) Cap / as well as floor.

Cur F. - Re: mini permit - put date there too?

Kerr M. - NPlatte in process.

LF - Hopefully DNR will allow some huge permits after this...

AB - Q in part for mini's. Permit to give assurance re. bond rating.

LC - Not doing many of permit process.

AB - NPlatte apply for after F/A?

JB - If have well field with + in - well apply to prevent injunctive suit.

LC - still potential liab. for indiv. users.

KFr - Dnr not to see larger than need to be...

SH - For DNR to worry about

AB - How granting permits is viewed when in IMP process - different.

if NRD = F/A

+ allow permit someone has to offset.

involves responsibilities that both in NRD