

10/26

AB - Re: Funding Justification.

Abs. = 1.5 M IP negotiated w/ DNR Activities

2.0 M State funds to match 4 m. NRAs would raise

was going to say 1st job was to  
Gen - sit down w/ Gov. Budget ... Now first job =  
to talk to Don Petersen & anyone else.

AB - Gov. told UK folks - we need to explain to people  
in Rep. - nature of prob. & also explain to  
legislators.

Gov. made clear need to do job no: education  
people on need for jobs & what would happen  
if not in compliance.

Next job = talk to School - lays.

J. Cernia - 2 H.O.'s - ① result of Monday mtg.

② add'l analysis not prev. disc

Cost to retire ares.

DKans - not saying ② - able. was what committee  
came up w/.

AB - How K written, need to be careful of.

JL - Fuzzy details - to see if double

GG - 150 m.

MC - QR over how long?

JL - Funding over 15 yrs. Would be phased out  
type easement.

AB - Saying for over 10-15 yr. period - paying

SOD - acre for one K?

#

DK - Committee said we - the deal + process

RB - off days. Bit minig + legal = \$1000

1/2 off  $\frac{1}{4}$  dry land + minig price.

MC - QR = cap  $\frac{4}{10}$  yrs.

RB - No one else  $\frac{5}{10}$  yrs.

AB - Concern = might need to change in future,  
 $\frac{18}{28}$  yrs.

Growing lag effect - Rep. Baum

RB - You'd have to buy more land.

AB - off saying never have to spend more - a  
prob. by it. Didn't want

RB - Didn't know.

AB - Didn't want to say "will never use back".

DK - Sun set + goes away - one time deal? Sales agent.

BB - GW pushes around Suttleland would accept  
1x project.

Need flex. to be based on what future brings.

DK - ??

BB - Folks would take w/ program of 1x.

DK - off don't talk - other 1/2 of program = NRD  
will regulate..

D side - Options instead better?

AB - Didn't want debate over type of program. Can't predict.

CC - Passage for approval of concept + dollars needed

AB - Dollars needed - Concept = inventio program  
Details = later.

GG - Concept of minimal reg.?

DK - a no

GG - in reality will have B1T now + reg.

AB - say "minimal" = good.

Jay R -

GG - Will it give us confidence?

AB - Short term problem.

System = so much inertia - Could do a lot  
next yr. by response from water.

Would get us where we need to be next

10-15 yrs. by minimal amt of regulation.

J.C.

DK option 25%

\$150 K - cues from.

Struggles to figure out - not willing to say Platts =  
same as Republican.

JL - 8 yr. interim period.

Permissible  
now.      316 m      (21 m/yr.)  
                400 m      (26.5 m/yr.)

DK - Qualifying #'s - hasn't discussed Y1 and others.

AB - Be careful who put program out. Need  
① able # to get us there.

DS - Ray S. survey? Data?

(No one's seen)

Potential part of survey says diff.

BB - List things covered - in people will  
trade dollar for dollar.

elf go ~~free~~ for block ...

GG - 1000/acre to not using us. \$1000/acre  
or. regulations.

AB - if passage = Decrease reg. to stay in  
Cappliance by offer of street. prog's.  
+ est. of what idle lots next x yrs.

TC - 10 yrs. - how arrived @.

BB - 15 yrs. = 6 tires.

GG - Capusion - write up?

RD - 6 of 15, 8 of 20; etc.

GG - Wait buy in % details.

DS - # a cost est.

BB - Contract based on rates.

GG - Relate to "rates come up 4 x"

AB - JR says "ballpark" - what this + not  
detail of how got there.

DS - Ballot measure - city folks will has I.

Ballot Issues / has roots from senior.

GG - To get more to carry legs. - need to  
know what is your end. need to  
find people.

BB - if do <sup>B14</sup> goes to TF - leave public.

DL - Talk to Federson - NOT public.

AB - Go to TF "this is what Sub com  
telling about"

The - we go to TF, can say we've

Carter  
talked to 1 people.

Joh G. - Came up w/ options - want people to see  
least crappy option.

GG - Tried to get Syalle to come. Worried  
b/c his #'s don't show much env.  
disaster if regulate it.

He gave #'s over phone - don't know how did.

AB - Rep. based on "cognoscenti".

GG - Says they cleaerly to regulate than  
D sad - don't pitch as avoid reg. -

ML - Q will get - and #'s at top of CREP/  
EQIP?

JLm - Sep. from them CREP not plan notes.

ML - Looking @ 15 yrs. - CREP + EQIP 4 in the  
tree & would offer some.

DK - Contact CREP people to see if  
willing to go forward.

GT - Only contact CREP or signed K's.

Senate side - CREP-EQIP etc. will take  
a big hit.

AB - Signed K.

Have 60K signed K's. OTHER 10 K's.

GG - Cross as go along. Next step??

DK -

D Saas - figs. = total - NOT local Cost share.

→ DNR

Share =  $\frac{65}{133} - 100\%$

D Smith - City raise 30% of 10.8 million.

JR - do prop. taxes?

D Saas - Yes.

If max out, less than \$20K.

If had 10m or take + any way to get =  
end up 4.3m - can't do.

DK - Whole Q - need a local funding.

BR - Change people to take bad out?

D Saas - No.

BB - The raises prop.

D Saas - What you used props?

GR - Will be next like now.

Just stirred up more probs.

JG - info from G+P.

Re: ~~14%~~ Sales tax. Doesn't seem as bad  
a sell. Generates \$42.5 m. If put a  
ballot + split like Env. Trust Fund.

17.5 m. - G+P ( $\approx 25\%$ )  
10.6 m. - Env. Trust Fund  
31.9 m. left - goes towards fund.

Op Fund can

be used for

Budget + water

of water id'd

Op Fund for  
as fund for  
quality (1)

7.5 m. op. budget 962

Balance - what need

Sunset 10 yr. by 2 yr. lag.  
(if vote to repeal - 2 yrs. B14)

effective - allows time to get people on  
bd. b/c another idea... + G&P to scrub.

D Sads - Not'l Trust Rally. (Semin).

Principles of conserv. consensus passed.

~~eff.~~ Often gets from 2 low and extra  
people paying each up - A30.

Stressed accountability.

Feasibility research a must.

Pub. Op. survey to id. issues of voter concern  
Program recommendations.

Ballot language = key.

Big ~~O~~ for failure = well-funded opposition.

JG - No comment re: whether need ...

D. Sads - Sunset goes to accountability.

G&P more difficult to get int. on ballot or  
to get them to study it?

D. Sads - Study = sep. from legis. -

Ballot language nt = most important.

AB - No. preservation passed to everyone's surprise.

D. Sads - This need huge \$ for campaign.

JG - diff TP needs consensus.

D. Sads - If pay for legis. study / poll?

JK: 25-30 K for 2 to 3 yrs.

RB - If TP has?

AB - Not sure can be used % of state \$.

JG - For poll + fees. study - its NOT lobbying.

BB - Smetta Caffid -

DSs - Poll results,

BB - Can do term stats.

JR - Visit Serstas 1/4 use TF. Standards of  
getting to political activity.

DS - Said may be able to find grant & for

JG - Report to TF -

JR - A little away,

This to create inf. stats. Funding  
issue - can do more by private  
group than by full TF.

AB - Dear what saying re research.

Getting ~~more~~ more to

Clears of public TF - not private.

GK - Qf had it can this for - but since  
already set in course - maybe private  
letter = ~~for~~ letter.

DS - 1) What  
2) Who repr.?

DK - 1) keeps results private

2)

DS - Coalition looks like TF -

BB - Coalition = you + Jay...)

GK - TF became party?

DK - Could make recommendation

JG - Wrote 962 -

What is difference?

JR - 962 - asking to pass legis. - now asking

to create society to put a ballot

start private group now - so ready to run it.

Could do what propose + go to legi -

but @ that point - can NRDs practice  
+ push legis.

DSnd - They said we could practice. i  
believe (D) is fading.

GG - What to do to get ready for stg. in NP.

BB - need this + \$ + here's steps - need  
both points to sell to TF.

DK - Pub. entities can lobby legis.

What entity solves to do that different?

Here's dollars - how go get ??

Don't know sequence.

Details (how-to) = working on.

GG - How approach \$ - raising (D) for NRDs?

Tell use of local funds first?

DK - i.d.i.d - problem. Q = how deal w/ local funds.

GG - Success on ballot only if can convince  
it'll lesser load on local NRDs.

DK - Can you sell if no local match

JG - Find out restrict - from pols  
or wherever. If have 25 votes no  
don't need local match - don't care.

Ed. will support legis. allowing  
NRDs + others 1/4 out of budget  
restrictions to use if you need it

DK - Already conting on.

GG - Already spent

JG - 10% noted?

DSm - 1m / yr. - that's lots 2d of each  
across district.

MCl = 1€ = 135K

PSm = 1€ = 155K

AB - couldn't do both - you'd have to do additional

DSm - Right.

DK - Long term approach could be helpful &  
is primary goal here

JG - ~~long~~ Do writing -

NRDs affected by intestate Confab  
settle. agrees, etc. - allowed to exceed  
levies as well as budget limitations by  
x. Other NRDs ~~don't~~ get.  
PSm ~~don't~~

No one trusts anyone.

Be sure what doing doesn't have adverse  
effect on other folks.

AB - D-A area & of Confab.

DSm - ~~Can~~ Can do 1st. min - but LKNRD can

MCl - 3d would do it.

1€ is for O&M.

JG - what you'll have to do - limitation is  
what funding will be used for.

That way, less ~~problems~~ problems.

Hard sell - but a start.

Help address prob. of levy - long

term = see ~~the~~ kind of funding.

Gle - Need list of Senators for Jay + d to talk

to 1/4 mtg.

JG - ~~= NRC~~  
= Approp.  
Rec.

Dsm - ~~Re~~ Wasting time.

GG - Told them we'd come w/ what preparing now. Just as present - will be lots of Q's.



BB - Nov 18th?

JR - JG idea re: allocators:

- 1) ~~Current~~ reg. by incentives
- 2) more stringent regulation  
How present that

Md - Scary #'s to share w/ group.

- 3) default

NB - Share scary bc.

JR - 1st reaction may be - let's regulate.

DK - Answer = shut off all wells + still out of compliance.

NB - If that solution - how into compliance w/ plan?

DK - Short term = beg.

D Saad - Be careful re: ballot initiative.

Point + phrase carefully b/c press'll be there.

Explore option of ballot initiative

as: NJ's best resource < etc. -  
add wind bytes >

BB - Need to put strategy together &  
talk to people 1/4.

DK - Can talk to people B/c strategy.

Dsns - Strategy?

BB - Re: what you'll explain to members  
of committee.

What you'll say to members of legs.

Dsns - Re: Strategy?

BB - 'Ob legs?

Dsns - Yes.

JG - Don't have time.  $4/12$  = last day of legs.

JR - Feb. take up 'Y a.m. legs +  
pm. hings.

+ canayne legs.

7 major pieces of legis that will be  
fertilized.

BB - Gets back to short - term / long - term strategy.

JK - JG & JR decide who needs to be talked to.

Need 1 NRD person there to give details  
need other people from committee to  
outline (< JK + JG stress point >) no  
alternatives + diff's B/T Plotter &

Repub.

+ has short term

Longer Term - need this and if

I based on a P. of what's to be

removed + we are looking @

study re: referendum

Send D-able?

Can do much more B/c need details.

& do something for TF.

JG Can assist can partie. as lobbyist in  
present (D)s.

"Assist per Sen. Schools instruction w/  
assist JG."

MCL - Problem faced by - will come back & say  
"why only picking up 1/3 of tab."

That'll be the tough sell

AB - Alt. = "even if did x yr can't get it &  
downside = regulation."

Bretz - \$5-6 m provided for an dist. &  
trade off = red park plant.

D Saenger Dis like no plant should go a ballot

Bretz - Whole state pays for small part of  
state. Writes out rest of state no

getting me of it.

OK - Resource Dev. Fund / Soil Conserv. Fund.

AB - Water Pol. Tr. Fund now has \$ 1.

PB - \$ needed?

JG - over \$ 402 B. \$.

CG - Have to bring up lid cap on MLOs.

AB - Sort of has to go w/ \$ asking for.

1¢ more asked for.

JG - <sup>upto</sup> 3¢ for local funding.

water for progress & incentives.  
(1¢) (2¢)

3¢ option for F/A, O-A areas.

D Saenger - 3¢ on top of 1¢ already got.

AB - All 3 & listed to O-A + Cognac?

RB - Correct & people in Butch's world pay for it.

JG - if do O + fall over cognac = important.

\$60m spent for litigation or plateau

MC - Be careful - are you to be out of cognac or Repub

JG - if one of cognacs + KS Colls - what does that cost?

JR - need to talk to Cookson.

DSm add 1% for everyone?

RB/GG - no. Just O-A / F/B / Cognac

GG - where at?

RB - Q - Do we 3% - what do total?

DSm - 10% - Can't get 1/3. Not possible  
w/o other funding sources.

RB - 90/10 cost share. To get 10% -  
need 3%.

MC - B/4 full TF - need to go over  
whole package. Lay out key cognacs so  
people can understand + get Committee  
together.

RB - hope we all understand.

GG - how many B/4?

AB - ~~#~~ car near 17th?

Meet 14?

RB - Tied up 8-5 on 18<sup>th</sup>

GG - Need all in consensus from by email.

DK - 1:00 O-A on Tues.

CG - Get to you an email.

BB - major prob. to say "start working" on 18<sup>th</sup>?

D Sads - may be good idea ..

AB - hand as SubCom. to touch base?

JR - Get stuff out B/y 18<sup>th</sup> + consensus on 18<sup>th</sup>?

GG - setting in writing

D Sads - B/y gubernatorial vote gets more young voters -  
↳ will research timeline ..

GG - Justice senators B/y 18<sup>th</sup> so better feel.

BB - Thought JR was getting strategy ??

DK - Except of Ballot Key. - other options?

GG or wait?

JR - JG + I throw together + be sure a  
sure pg. - doesn't B/y 18<sup>th</sup>.

Could set agenda for mtg. after 18<sup>th</sup>.

DK - Take up B/y Spec. Com?

GG - "Catching Wave":

need for hand 18<sup>th</sup>?

DK - JG not there on 18<sup>th</sup>.

JR - Key = Petersen - Schrock,

GG - Why another mtg. if no info?

JG - Feedback from memo.

GG meeting -