

10/5

WPTF - Funding Committee

Gene - Q = How to proceed?

How to make problem go away?

may only have minimal support from Gov.

DK - Gov. said - if have proposal - need to say where cutting funds, as well.

AB -

DK - Have work to do., need to be ready - assume he's saying "no taxes." We'd have to argue - "won't reflect on you."

Gene - Legislators pessimistic - have add'l \$ - will use to cut losses.

Will - Schrod said he will sponsor a bill.

RWB - Different from not supporting it.

DS - if we bring a bill?

MC - Talking re: \$10/acre.

DK - maybe doesn't mean "no" on this.

Sh - only negative I got was \$10/acre.

RB/DK - Q's @ Bd mtg. re: \$10/acre.

GA - not mandatory - just allowing to make a choice.
Sunset of F/A status.

RB - NARD mtg. - voted down. ~~B~~ Gene said - if authorized - legis. will say "let NRAS do it by their lands -"

DS - 6 vote difference (64-52).

Folks afterwards didn't understand as applying to F/A + Republ. ally.

DK - Can't do special legs.

JR - impetus for discussion... "DNR shall make a recommendation." Discussions "Gov.?"

AR - Just want to know what TF will push +
said is priority. Haven't discussed how well
have recommendation.

DK - need to be careful how we lay this out. Better
to lay out proposals for full TF to look
at next 30 days.

AB - Jerry O. in Budget office was a RR Town.
Has set in a disc. of some $\text{\textcircled{I}}$ w/ gov. ds
attached to RR $\text{\textcircled{I}}$.

GG - RR = benefit or detriment?

DS - understood "no taxes" - how can they say
voters can't do it.

MC - need to be specific.

GG - Tool box for NRDS - still go 4/ward 4 it?

JR - missed last meeting - dollars?

need to focus on what learned since last
time - where we need to lay ground

the - see figures - the subcomm.
wrestling 4 - + ask for inputs

1st thing will be "studies shock" 4/4 we
thought \$5 m - stat.

Now - \$14 m - stat.

Prior to now - rolled regul. in w/ this - that's
where big ts are. - Do we need to separate
that out?

Part = Capline w/ Capat + part = 962

DK - Looking @ Platte + what need to do - best info we have re: back to '97 = new level of 100K acres. < 3-states agree. >, thru 2001.

J Cassin - 96K acres in entire COHYST area.
Down to Chugra.

DK - Was all hit the stream in 1st 10 yrs.
2001 - 2004 - is now. < 96K up to 2001 >.

RB - Angler b/w Elk Cr. don't have to worry about
B/C not O-A.

Sch - does it have to be accounted for in Corp Agree?

DK -

DSanders - Costs built into chart?

J Cassin - No.

All we had figured for motel was down to
Chugra.

DK - Gets complicated. 962 say — ; Corp Agree
say —.

RB - not that diff. of steps @ Chugra.

DK - Another component not fairly represented
in Costs/Needs.

News about it.

D Sals - Throw # out @ all?

AB - Get as realistic as can be, Separate from incentives - just IAP. For all @'s - whether incentives good idea, etc
Then present incentives: regulate vs. incentives?
Regulation not popular.

J Turn - Broke out 40 incentives.

AB - do you have staff + support to implement
962 - higher as well go low.

DK - Regs. already doing all this.

D Sals - Costs continued.

Mell - Don't know how many acres we have to retire - I guessed 10K/acre/yr. -
cost up. next 3 yrs.

D Sals - I guessed 10⁰⁰⁰.

AB - Don't have load # + probably unit -
can't predict water volume.

Would help to retire one acre in each district
would ~~help~~ help come up w/ one #'s.

DK - Dilemma. Put meeting out 1/2 ended a

have to stop later - no win.

AP - Say - "these are estimated needs" +
realize can't get all in '07.
What need - but happy if get some.

GG - Too many variables.
If don't have some indication re:
incentives - what will happen? Regulator?

DK - Cost start over.

AB - Will have to do one reg - RR, so need
impl. cost
Anything we can do @ that point will
be helpful.
Buy essentials + see what's left over.

GG - Do 4 #'s we know.

RB - 10m. based on $\frac{2}{3}$ - a total cost?

MCL 10000

DSm Took some off - 95%.

RB - so if NRDS provide zero - some
would switch?

DSm - Yes.

Used 10% of cert area total over
3 yrs.

RB - Handle a how many acres need to be retired
to balance.

on next August?

AB - drought continue - a rain?

DK -

AB - Return all to drought - a average +
than extra in drought?

JF - During drought have to do other things.

LB - Feel for # acres to get back to
average compliance?

AB - Harvest done.

Long effect - 50K by - would have
to calculate it - could get it.

DK - on Q = compliance.

RB - Critical to know what need for state's share.
Rlatts - can estimate + split over
10 yrs. Can have 10 yrs. to
balance.

AB - We have 2.

D Sm - If no viable this year.

JF - need \$ - but not returning next
2 yrs.

Jm Sch - Buy water. Have to do somewhere.

D Sm - How help?

T Sch - have to KS.

D Sm - Wait get it there.

Buy water - say can't buy it + shut off
their wells.

TS - By far me C. -

OK - Pay irrigators ; ,

GG - All solved.

Jordan - TF needs to grapple w/ one prob.
Outline prob. w/ TF

Thought process gave firm - factors + variables.

not have sense - need to see magnitudes + what you'd do.

Beyond that - whether distrib #'s + when go up -

RB up in air. If handle on average for Regub + average Platts - don't throw up \$20 m / yr. + some more...

Bos. haven't heard any #'s.

JR - Stick w/ op. (I)'s + say other side = incentives + we need to wrestle w/.

RB - Dept #'s - Regub. + Platts?

GG - No way comfortable - #'s keep obj - fairly comfortable w/ op side. But agree w/ JR + AB incentives side - don't know what dealing w/. Don't think we

Can do.

D Sads Agree no # - Agree a hell of a lot?

Agreed

D Sads - So doesn't regret going w/ sales tax -

D Sm - need to have something AB can go forward w/. Need re: funding Dept needs. Need capability in house to do things we need done.

D Sads - need ded sales tax

JR - on agenda tomorrow.

D Sm - never gave up sales tax.
No notes than dedicated - slight
Cly...

GG - if ca curvise legis. - just asking
people - still need to ded w/
NAD tool box.

need raise in levy limit. How hard
push elsewhere?

RB - need to see #'s - RR + Platts

PK - Need local matches

RB - need above + beyond re: cost share.

JR - re: cost share - last time est. was \$1.5M
yr. - on top of NRD costs?

AB - Yes.

JR 3M + 1.5M (Depr.) = 4.5M?
Split load 1/2 each?

DK - 65/33 is what said.

JR - WR T Fund = 80/20

DS - "no less than"

ef. div. Imp shouldn't have to do
anything. duplicative - should get 100%.

GG - What take 4/ward?

JT - ↑ NRD auth. 1 1/2 %.

RB - Back to where were 4-5 yrs. ago when
eliminated.

JT - most uncontroversial thing we could do.

me - Extra 14 would help dist.

JT - Deals 4 not out-of-pocket expenses.

GG - Hold true for all NRDs?

JF - 14 would help 1/2 - 4 2 & would get done

JR - @ max?

Dea Ed. - Dist seeing it not = closest to max.

DK - Op. Costs -

NP + SP almost 2x others.

Nice to break out.,

NPNRD a cost share

JCarrie - Rod Am = meters too.,

S Goal - meters - not sure cost share or admin -
put in admin.

DS - 50% cost share.

ML - Seems should go under incentives.

AB - if need to do others. - not sure incentives.

GG - O-A - have to regulate - Cost of op.

JF - Cost share or required practice.

JT - Applied for Env. Trust Grant - don't
require yet - see - require - can apply
for funding grant.

AB - Trust talking about mega Program.

maybe mega Program = retire acres using

Trust Fund \$ + try to combine of

dry land farming - habitat + edges
around fields.

DK - Operational - level really in?

AB - a term "Op."

ML - Agree.

J. Tessa looked @ cost side - doesn't say
where coin from.
Didn't try to break where new coin
from

RB - NRD estimate cost

JR - Plus 1/2 m state cost

RB - I'd reduce state cost share.
Way reported??

DS - On costs - if more \$ avail. would do
other things. We're maintaining. A
little down the road.

WCL - nice lower % based on what doing today.
if try to retire acres - need more.

RB - Keeping exp. - this is exp. for rest of
program?

WCL - Yes

DK - Part funded by state. Use 50/50?

GG -

J. Tessa - if got extra cost for dist seed, if

dist. picks up one of ops. + state helps
w/ incentives - might help.

D Suds - Could state pick up all of incentives
of NRDS do ops?

AB - of cure up by Constit. amend.

Dean Ed - state position @ end of yr?

AB - Took A for both yrs + make sure
we could cover what need to cover
for both yrs.
We can get by. Will get rid of stuff
Hema commitments we already have.
Assuming no \$ in 07.

D Ed - Appropri. Comm will want to know
how much spent - how much passed
over. If we think that way - may
be able to
"Live to fight another day."

DK - Est. potential IMP costs.

SG - FA = costs of FIA
ND = costs of NOT FIA > SB one on
other for
end NRDS.

J Turn - estimates costs were ND - mixed.

SG -

DK - Funding @ \$M/yr. op. costs / yr for state:

5-6 M/yr. - what split?

M-C, $\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$?

DK - Reverse in case of ops. - $\frac{2}{3} = \text{NRD}$

$\frac{1}{3} = \text{State} +$

switch it on incentives. $\frac{2}{3} = \text{State} / \frac{1}{3} = \text{NRD}$

CG - Coupled w NRD mil levy.

DK - 1st proposal = 14

next = \$5-6 M/yr.

JR - Plus $1\frac{1}{2}$ M for DNR - state funding.

NR - State = 100% for $1\frac{1}{2}$ M

2 M State ops.

$1\frac{1}{2}$ M State = DNR.

~~JK~~

CG - Cover research + all else...

DK - $1\frac{1}{2}$ M for DNR?

AB - About right?

GC - 2 M = state share of NRD ops.

$3\frac{1}{2}$ M per yr.

directives = no idea.

DK - & re: proportion

NB - Need realistic estimates in Regub + Platte for DNR

MCC - Ops = $\frac{2}{3}$ local + $\frac{1}{3}$ state ...)

DS - Get NROS where need to be

JF - Will work. I'd would replace settle funds
for state.

Pay $\frac{2}{3}$ of op. instead of all would be OK

NB - Say doesn't include incentive program -
need #'s + splits yet.

Need to push referendum. Have sizable
#'s already.

Jonatta - method to acquire incentives.

Support for referendum for sales tax -

NB - Unless people have chgd. their minds,
This is one way to dedicate it

DS - To look for better #'s - need
to know more about what '90's
will be.
My #'s looked @ 100% state funds.

DK - For discussion - incentives = $\frac{2}{3}$ state
 $\frac{1}{3}$ local.

DS - Takes me 15 yrs. to raise that \$.

JK - ~~Re~~ Pers. prop. tax on irrig-
equip. ...

me. 8 m. Statewide per School.

RB - 1¢ for ops. - incentives above & beyond
(new penny).

DK - For state's share - are we recommending
sales tax?

GG - Will tell the discussion + tell
the re: ops. + not enough info
to guess re: incentives.
Will take 6 m for NRDS
1 1/2 m for DNR

RB - The next step = break down

GG - State = $\frac{1}{3}$ op
NRD = $\frac{2}{3}$ ops.
State ops = 100% own. (Raise 3.5M)
NRD to raise $\frac{2}{3}$ - who build

letter 962 costs - recon raising
limit on levy (restoring) 14.

D Sanders - Re work on incentives - say
"Know will be big #!"

GG - Re: incentives fall back to recon.
re: ~~of~~ sales tax for incentives.

DK - AND Ops.

D Sanders - + say "Ask Voters." < not
just to fund incentives but
wide range of water projects
to benefit all >.

Foran - to take further people will want
to know where \$ will go -
but a later discussion.

GG - Had - out tomorrow??

D Sm - Proposal to AB?

DK - Deadline?

JR - AB's pumps - renewed sales tax - no
vote til "106 - not effective til
1/07. - Looking @ window - maybe

she says - for next 2-3 yrs - what GFD
\$.

Give Gov. figure for op. Costs...

GA - if allocated out of Gen. Fund - they
have to find it.

NB - What does it do for Regs...

mcl. - Pay KS. off & give us extra tie.

DE - Net tax proposal on the table screen.

DE - League of Women's gave me no suggestions.
[Sheet discussed].

GG - Go into details??

AB - No. Raise potential in positive way. Don't
think people will have trouble imagining
how to use.

GG - Go w/ basis tomorrow. Don't discuss
\$10/acre or water tax.
Say add'l \$ for fueling reg'd & our
suggestion = consider possibility of
orig. idea of del. sales tax.

JR Exec. Com. 1st time Full TR

DK - Asking for more time.
Get some of it out there

DS - Floats sales tax idea.

Juratta - Talk re: how it could be used.

DS - Yes -

RB - Categories of needs ONLY - not ads.

JR - a specific program

RB - GG should say - 2 parts - Ops + Treas -
Here's ops. - does not there to be
shared. Hope in 30-60 day time +
will come back.

Juratta - + is "significant" + "ded. tax"

DS - + votes vote on it.

JR - not "inc." - but "conservative"

DK - does not button for some senators.
Where go next?

JTurn - need to know Ops costs.

RB - Clean up front - 2 part pkg.

JK DNR try to run #'s -
in average - #'s.

DK - have people res "I'll help w/ process."
Need ideas so when comes out - no
or this is dumb idea. Keep people

DK Gov. office - ben legis. people - someone
influential to go w/ you

AB - From group not from Dept

D says Stuber said would help.

AB Gov. - approps. - Nat. Res. Can
Have gov. elections
RT did want law suit.
Short term is a problem

Last time - criteria - how DNR could
look @ criteria res how it could go.

NPNRD - \$375 = 100% CREP. Case up
w/ 1/2 of that.

State = potential for it in budget to
do some of that stuff. Guidelines w/
how to distribute. Are they in

guidelines: DNR would look @ whether a
component of a Plan drafted & adopted
whether NKA would go after even 40
investor. (Want to see Committee).

WCL - ch. # for DNR?

AB - A letter to help of state/local water for
EQIP Program.
Whole use only for O-A or Congrad.

D Ed. - right plan in place?

AB - A factor. Using DNR funds to
implement

D Sm - Hadout?

AB - Will have tomorrow.

No need to have right plan in place.
Concerned about - people saying Pughin C.
= "buy out" for people that made
lousy investments.

So know "serious about program!"

DK - Good to have meeting in writing.

AB - Re: Water Trust Fund #5 - need rules re:
how can spend.

DS - Too much philosophy

AB - Imp in place

DK res of don't get \$ - will alloc
water or raise \$ to get to goal.

NANRU has highest plan for Prop C.

DK - + raised levy to raise 187 K for program

JP - Re: requirements - create criteria NOT
so subjective.

JK/NB - "reflect a commitment"

JP - Your determination whether DNR will sign off on plans
NOT IMP.

A JT. Ax Plan.

AB - no such thing any more.

J Turn. - Don't like.

AB - Reward? IMP a good idea??

DK - Wouldn't get too hung up on it.
Back-up of no incentive?

AB - Another way to say. Shouldn't provide
inc. from state ---
Plan 4' allows. vs. Plan 4' "will get incentives".

J Turn. - Apply -

AB - O-A + Platte + Repub.

RB - Why did state approve Pla in 1st place?

AB - 46-739 - Dist can do own Imp - state not sign off on
Revise →

NRD - any plan -

J Turn - Say what you want in it - "controls" -

AB - Controls for water management.

JF - Controls to achieve goals of IHPs -

J Turn - NOT JUST AN INTENT.

D.S. - Where end up w/ ? Rules?

AB - NO Guidelines as item re: Water Trust
fund. Could legally give \$ out now.
Dist need rules.

~~OK~~

OK - How long re: #'s in Platee?

O-A to F/A?

J Linnia - Just shows fin CALNET #'s - 97-01 -
not sure reall #'s. Will be next spring.

DK - Giving comfortable w/ estimate?

RB - No.

J Camin - Know where solid part is - 2001.

Other thing is land overlap in RR

Re solid 01-05 - would have effect # -

GG - Continue this exercise?

D Sands - Sure - so they don't have to.

GG - So need better #.

Don - Go another yr. + take us out of map.

JF - Til '06 + the end of '07 to deliver water.

GG - How soon next again?

D Sands ^{NRDS:} Other tools besides 1¢ ↑ ?? That are releable.

DK - Dept. has to give us some info.

AB - We've been doing some modeling.

2 wks.

10/26

GG - Accept Dept. #'s "for the process!"

JF - # Acres retirement + time frame

AB - What kind of acres res lay effect?

JF - lag effect / permanent retirement

JCarrion - # acres to retire
lag effect / rationalized divide. } Regul. Obj.

RB - 1st step is Platte = what kind of
acres offset to get to '97 levels of
devel.

DK - But also P/A.

JCarrion - Don't have P/A. + wait in 3 wks.
Have # you have.

DK - Re: P/A - way I need to go back.

AB - direct = '97