

## **Ann Bleed**

From: Mike Clements [Irnrd@megavision.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 8:57 AM

To: Ann Bleed

Ann,

I have had several requests from producers and now a Board member recently as to the happenings in northwest Kansas. I can't answer their questions so I hope you can help me or point me in the right direction.

- 1. What is the status of flow meters in the Republican Basin in Kansas?
- 2. What methodology is Kansas using presently to compute their pumping?
- 3. How many new irrigation wells have been drilled since the settlement?
- 4. How many new irrigated acres have been developed since the settlement?
- 5. How many inches per acre were applied in 2003, 2004, and 2005?
- 6. What is the Kansas allocation minus the beneficial consumptive use for 2003, 2004, and 2005.

Thanks for your help.

Mike

## Mike Thompson

From: Mike Thompson [mthompson@dnr.state.ne.us]

Sent: Monday, March 13, 2006 1:08 AM

To: justin.lavene@ago.ne.gov; Ann Diers; Ann Bleed

Cc: david.cookson@ago.ne.gov; Brad Edgerton

Subject: Confidential Attorney-Client Privileged Communication - RR - Proposed MOA from KS and Proposed

Ammendment to Evap Comps.

The information contained in this electronic mail transmission (including any accompanying attachments) is intended solely for its authorized recipient(s), and may be confidential and or legally privileged. If you are not an intended recipient, or responsible for delivering some or all of this transmission to an intended recipient, you have received this transmission in error and are hereby notified that you are strictly prohibited from reading, copying, printing, distributing or disclosing any of the information contained in it. In that event, please contact us immediately by telephone (402) 471-2363 or by electronic mail at <a href="mailto:mthompson@dnr.ne.gov">mthompson@dnr.ne.gov</a> and delete the original and all copies of this transmission (including any attachments) without reading or saving in any manner. Thank you.

Justin and Ann,

After reviewing KS drafts from 10-March06, I don't think we should go there. The MOA is riddled with PP sensitive references and would not accomplish anything useful. If they want the water and insist on a document, it should be very brief and say something like "we want the water you are offering and please protect it to the extent allowed by Nebraska law".

As for the evaporation, I was confused at first, but now I see that they want to zap Nebraska with a sort-of defacto evaporation charge for recreation benefits. Basically the hard shut-off level in the lake has some recreational aspects to it, but I don't think Nebraskans are the only people enjoying the recreational/sports benefits from Harlan. Therefore, why should Nebraska take the whole hit from that? I really think the current language is sufficient to handle any situation (now that we have taken care of the no-diversion situation). I didn't try to compute the proposed Kansas version of evaporation charges yet. Maybe Brad could take a stab at that in the morning. Shuhai Zheng could be a good resource if we need to do additional computations. He doesn't have any of the current information. If we were to agree to the proposed evaporation computations, I would guess it would be take a significant chunk out of the potential benefit from the proposed water lease with NBID.

I'll be available for a call in the morning on my Cell at: 402-440-0358.

Mike

Mike Thompson Natural Resources Specialist 301 Centennial Mall South 4<sup>th</sup> Floor State Office Building Lincoln, NE 68509-4676

(402) 471-1026

mthompson@dnr.ne.gov

www.dnr.ne.gov

March 8, 2006 NE-KS-NBID-KBID-USBR-COE Discuss MOA between NE + NBID] AmBlew Mike Delka Stove Raushauger Brad Peterson Ann Dies Lee Ortor Marvin Subuda Kenny Nelson Ron Theis Flanker-COE John Draper Il Brod Edgerton Gordon Aycock David Barfield Tustin Lavene John Caffin David Pope Mike Thempson Scott Gunther Scott Ross Lee 6/8 Justin-resolved language for NBID+KBID agreements It is a MOU that in part works out how the Oth changes will be computed t changed. It also badles the storage diversion procedures for 2006 A-send talking Pope - Will be glad to receive the public description of moA

as it is released to the public. Wen't be giving

any press comments or public analysis until the deal

is final. Bleed-Hold hormless draft from KS? Rolfs is working on a draft. It would be an agreement between NE+KS. Will have language on accounting. Pope - Draft on Evaporation Issue will be forthcoming Soon It's an issue for the States. We'll get KS & NE together after we see their proposal. 魚 Possibly a conference cell ON 12:30 PM Monday March 13. Boxfield will set it up. Bartield question of GW regulations \$ - Send CRNRD IMP document to Barfield

or can construct a se	Pape - Storage in Harlan that is owned by NOTO bace)
Control of the second of the s	on January est. + natural flow portion.
	Kenny No- We will send the 10/18 plus call natural
	flow through as it it were being called
	by NE Bostwick (Superior Conal).
	Kenny N Mou for OtM charges - may need an amendment
	that would describe how the 2006 operation between
	the two Districts
	Kenny N Importors neeting for KBID march 30.
	Steve Ronshanger - Mou will be done in writing between
	NBID, KBID + USBR
	7
,	
in the second se	
200	
2	