

**DRAFT REPORT**

**APPRAISAL STUDY**  
**LOWER REPUBLICAN RIVER BASIN**  
**NEBRASKA AND KANSAS**

**DRAFT**



**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION**

**JANUARY 2004**

**DRAFT REPORT**

**APPRAISAL STUDY**  
**LOWER REPUBLICAN RIVER BASIN**  
**NEBRASKA AND KANSAS**

**DRAFT**



**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION**

**JANUARY 2004**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>PAGE</i>
<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	ix
<b>Chapter 1 – Introduction</b> .....	1
1.1 Authority .....	1
1.2 Purpose and Scope .....	1
1.3 Objectives .....	1
1.4 Project Area and Description .....	3
1.5 Prior Studies, Reports and Existing Water Projects .....	4
1.6 Consultation and Meetings .....	5
<b>Chapter 2 – Problems and Needs</b> .....	7
2.1 Republican River Compact .....	7
2.2 Republican River Compact Litigation .....	7
2.3 Final Settlement Stipulation .....	8
2.4 Problems and Opportunities .....	9
2.4.1 Existing Conditions .....	9
2.4.2 Expected Future Conditions .....	10
2.4.3 Opportunities .....	11
2.4.4 Problems Warranting Federal Participation .....	11
2.4.5 Planning Objectives and Planning Constraints .....	12
<b>Chapter 3 – Alternative Plans</b> .....	13
3.1 Management Methods .....	13
3.1.1 Winterize Superior-Courtland Diversion Dam and Courtland Canal .....	13
3.1.2 Automate Superior-Courtland Diversion Dam and Courtland Canal .....	13
3.1.3 Renovate Courtland Canal (Restore to Design Capacity) .....	14
3.1.4 Provide for Increased Conservation Storage in Lovewell Reservoir .....	14

APPRAISAL STUDY

3.2 River System Operation Model..... 16

3.3 Description of Baseline and Alternatives..... 18

    3.3.1 Alternative A - Courtland Canal to Design Capacity and Winterize..... 19

    3.3.2 Alternative B – Automate, Winterize ..... 19

    3.3.3 Alternative C – Automate, Winterize, Courtland Canal to Design Capacity ..... 19

    3.3.4 Alternative D – Automate, Winterize, Raise Lovewell 16,000 ac-ft ..... 19

    3.3.5 Alternative E – Automate, Winterize, Courtland Canal to Design Capacity, and Raise Lovewell 16,000 ac-ft ..... 19

    3.3.6 Alternative F – Automate, Winterize, Raise Lovewell 35,000 ac-ft ..... 20

    3.3.7 Alternative G – Automate, Winterize, Courtland Canal to Design Capacity, Raise Lovewell 35,000 ac-ft..... 20

    3.3.8 Alternative H – Raise Lovewell 16,000 ac-ft ..... 20

    3.3.9 Alternative I – Raise Lovewell 16,000 ac-ft, Courtland Canal to Design Capacity ..... 20

    3.3.10 Other Storage Alternatives ..... 20

3.4 Evaluation of Alternatives..... 21

    3.4.1 Hydrologic Evaluations ..... 21

        3.4.1.1 Changes of Water Supply into Lovewell Reservoir ..... 21

        3.4.1.2 Minimum Daily Streamflow Analysis ..... 21

        3.4.1.3 Farm Delivery Changes ..... 22

    3.4.2 Alternative Design and Cost Estimates ..... 22

        3.4.2.1 Canal Components ..... 22

            3.4.2.1.1 Canal Flow ..... 22

            3.4.2.1.2 Canal Rehabilitation ..... 22

            3.4.2.1.3 Modifications for Winter Operations ..... 23

            3.4.2.1.4 Canal Automation ..... 23

3.4.2.2	Components to Increase Storage Capacity in Lovewell Reservoir .....	24
3.4.2.2.1	Increase Lovewell Capacity – 16,000 ac-ft ....	25
3.4.2.2.2	Increase Lovewell Capacity – 35,000 ac-ft ....	26
3.4.2.2.3	Lovewell Risk Assessment.....	26
3.4.2.3	Other Storage Alternatives .....	28
3.4.2.3.1	Off-stream Storage – Jamestown Waterfowl Management Area.....	28
3.4.2.3.2	Off-stream Storage – Kansas Tributaries, Beaver Creek.....	29
3.4.2.4	Recreation Mitigation.....	29
3.4.2.5	Cost Estimates .....	31
3.4.2.5.1	Construction Cost Estimates .....	31
3.4.2.5.2	Non- contract Cost Estimate .....	31
3.4.2.5.3	Annual Operation, Maintenance and Replacement (OM&R) Costs.....	34
3.4.3	Economic Benefit Evaluations .....	36
3.4.3.1	Irrigation Benefit Estimation.....	35
3.4.3.1.1	Irrigation Benefits of Corn Production .....	36
3.4.3.2	Evaluation of Recreation Benefits.....	38
3.4.3.2.1	Methodology .....	39
3.4.3.2.2	Results .....	40
3.4.3.3	Benefit-Cost Analysis .....	41
3.4.4	Environmental Evaluations .....	43
3.4.4.1	Alternatives A, B, and C: Alternatives That Only Involve the Diversion Dam and Canal .....	44
3.4.4.2	Alternatives D, E, H, and I: Alternatives That Also Involve Raising Lovewell 16,000 ac-ft.....	44
3.4.4.3	Alternatives F and G: Alternatives That Also Involve Raising Lovewell 35,000 ac-ft.....	44

3.4.5	Socioeconomics.....	45
3.4.5.1	Overview .....	46
3.4.5.2	Agricultural Production and Value .....	46
3.4.6	Cultural Resources Evaluations .....	46
3.4.7	Legal and Institutional Issues .....	48
3.4.7.1	Legal.....	48
3.4.7.1.1	Water Rights.....	48
3.4.7.1.2	Congressional Authority and Appropriations .....	50
3.4.7.2	Institutional.....	50
3.4.8	Summary of the Evaluation of Alternatives .....	52
<b>Chapter 4 – Findings</b> .....		<b>57</b>
4.1	Federal Interest to Pursue a Feasibility Study .....	57
4.1.1	Findings.....	57
4.2	Feasibility Study – Draft Plan of Study and Preliminary Cost Estimate.....	57

## FIGURES

Figure 1.–Study Area .....	2
Figure 2.–Lovewell Reservoir Existing Allocations .....	15
Figure 3.–Lovewell Reservoir Allocations for 16,000 Acre-Feet Enlargement .....	15
Figure 4.–Lovewell Reservoir Allocations for 35,000 Acre-Feet Enlargement .....	16
Figure 5.–Schematic Diagram of Lower Republic River Basin.....	17

## TABLES

Table 1.—Summary of Model Runs .....	18
Table 2.—Average Discharge from Courtland Canal into Lovewell.....	21
Table 3.—Weighted Average Annual Farm Deliveries to Bostwick Districts .....	22
Table 4.—Estimated costs summary for the recreational facilities.....	30
Table 5.—Non-contract costs.....	31
Table 6.—Total Project Cost for each of the Alternatives.....	32 & 33
Table 7.—Average Irrigated Yields, 1991-95.....	34
Table 8.—Estimated Yields for the Selected Water Supply Range.....	36
Table 9.—Incremental Irrigation Benefits for Each Alternative.....	38
Table 10.—Estimated Benefits and Costs of Implementation for Each Alternative.....	42
Table 11.—Benefit-Cost Ratios for the Selected Alternatives.....	43
Table 12.—Summary of Alternative Evaluations—Irrigation Benefits Only.....	54
Table 13.— Summary of Alternative Evaluations—MDS Enhancement Only.....	55

## APPENDICES

Appendix A	Hydrology Report
Appendix B	Cost Estimate Worksheets
Appendix C	Recreation Mitigation Costs
Appendix D	Benefit Estimation
Appendix E	Recreation Analysis
Appendix F	Plan of Study — Feasibility Study

# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ac-ft	acre feet
Bu.	Bushels
BCU	Beneficial Consumptive Use
BOC	Bottom of conservation pool
CFR	Comprehensive Facility Review
cfs	cubic feet per second
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
DPR	Definite Plan Report
EOM	end of month
fps	feet per second
FSS	Final Settlement Stipulation
FWCA	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act of 1958
HP	horsepower
Irr.	Irrigation
Kaf	1,000 ac-ft
kV	kilovolts
NA	not appropriate
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRD	Natural Resources District
MDS	Minimum Desirable Streamflow
MP	mile post
msl	mean sea level
National Register	National Register of Historic Places
NED	National Economic Development
O M & R	Operation, Maintenance & Replacement
P.L.	Public Law



APPRAISAL STUDY

---

PMF	Probable Maximum Flood
POS	plan of study
P-SMBP	Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program
Reclamation	Bureau of Reclamation
ROW	Right-of-Way
RTU	Remote Terminal Unit
Service	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
TATS	Technical Assistance to States
the Court	U.S. Supreme Court
TOC	Top of conservation pool
USBR	Bureau of Reclamation
USDA, ERS	U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VE	Value Engineering

DRAFT