

We need to get from them why they don't think it is a problem

Legal obligation to specify why this is a problem before we go to arbitration. We can't have them saying we didn't tell them we had a problem.

This is a tool to calculate compact MTD  
No different than a stream gage that is not working correctly - must be fixed  
Everything starts here - compliance, damage etc, augmentation

Discrepancies

1. The tool is ~~broken~~ broken - model misused
2. We have a clear paper on the problem
3. We've been discussing it for a year
4. We have tried to solve it in a collaborative manner
5. We have not had a response - do you believe current method, with the errors, is correct.
6. With these errors, how can you explain this is correct
7. Are you prepared to go on record on May 16, saying these errors are not an issue

~~I think I could  
help. Should I?~~

Will 2 days  
be enough?  
Not for this issue!

Intro

Persons I didn't recognize

Dave Heiler - CO

Craig Scott - BOR

Colorado Augmentals

< Much discussion, between Dave Bayfield & Ken Knox for the most part >

LUNCH 11:30 - 1:00 p.m.

2. No additional discussion on CO <sup>agg.</sup> proposal - Marc asked Jim - CO will share answers with all states

3. KS remedy - Dave said that they tried to minimize the damages

Jim S. asked

5 & 4. Agree to dis

6. We'll instrument 2 locations & review spread <sup>map</sup>

7. Ken asked "how do you address excess flow out the end of the ditch in NE #7"

- \* 8 - we'll get out a map of all accounting pts
- \* - " " " write-up on Driftwood Creek
- discuss next week | review everything act. pts

Agenda =

1. Act Pts
2. Riverside Canal
3. Augmentation
4. SW purchases
5. Meter data
6. HS Remedy

5. Meters

June 15 - July 1 - NE

CO - final NAs data mid-July  
 Willem NCDC - final precip data last week

KS - will pull their data at the last minute

6.

KS Remedy - Agreed that we have all the information that we need to understand their damages & remedy

Tim S: All these act. changes inform compliance & damages, and so must be resolved first

At this time NE doesn't agree with KS remedy & proposed damage assessment

4.

SW: Brad described the 3 contracts  
 15K ac-ft into Harlan - to be split as usual.

3.

Augmentation - Nothing has floated to the top

KS urged us to talk to them before moving too far along with augmentation plans

1 ~~2~~

Act. Pts - Dave Bartfield

2.

Riverside Canal - in agreement with change