OPIC: RRC	Conse	vation Committee		DATE: 10-24-2003
LE UNDER:	DATA	TASK GROUP		PAGE:
		Megan Sullivan Dave Barfield Doug Garrison Scott Ross George Ayeock Mike Thompson Gayle Starr	list.	alease from the 303 deadline for g the 1st round
		Methods committee are looking connect to	e - Kællikler, M g at using his a GIS	nethodology to
		NRCS ha	sit tlooks theirs.	Dan Inventory- like DNR covers
		Washington (PR.	State - Histo ISM)	Link-Terrice+Tillage inte tempt precip,
		* Ask Kim Menk		ITEAM-FSA Photos
		In both	season grow town of terrace ight process, it c	
	有	Nov. 18 meeting n Nov. 7th - Summary Nov. 12th - Confere		ned Data hiscuss report 2:30 central

DNR 019201

Pat Mcgrane 437-5328 Room 343 Fed. Bldg.

· ! ; , , i	Jon Riley 416-4469/
	416-4469/
	- La A
	garea
	Constour + Terracing
	Constour + Terracing Conservation
	+ then Started doing ponds after it started
	Heads up digitizing
	\$50,000 V/ ponds
	over 1000 hours

Mike Thompson

From: Mike Thompson [mthompson@dnr.state.ne.us]

Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 12:53 PM

To: Gayle Starr; Derrel Martin; Hipple, Bob

Cc: Bleed, Ann

Subject: Conservation Data Group Meeting Notes

Nebraska Conservation Committee Members:

Last Thursday I received an e-mail message (see attached) from Dave Barfield regarding what he termed the "data task group" of the Conservation Committee. I was a little surprised to see my name listed as a group member, since I had not worked with the Committee or heard of the task group. As you can see from the attached e-mail, he wanted to have a conference the next afternoon. The MS Word document attached to his e-mail is the outline we used to discuss the various potential data sources (you will have to open his message to read it).

His outline document is an excerpt from the attached July 18, 2003 MS Word file which is a work product of the Committee. The attached Adobe pdf is a scan of my notes taken during the conference call Friday afternoon. The items in the pdf notes are numbered like the MS Word documents, so you can refer to them for the categories of data we discussed.

We agreed to have another conference call on October 24 at 1:30 PM central time. If you don't think this is an appropriate way to proceed, please let me know.

Regards,

Mike

Mike Thompson Natural Resources Specialist State of Nebraska Department of Natural Resources 301 Centennial Mall South Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4676

Phone: (402) 471-1026 FAX: (402) 471-2900

e-mail: mthompson@dnr.state.ne.us

Homepage: http://www.dnr.state.ne.us

RRC Conservation Committee Pata Task Group Task for full committee is to concentrate on structure O Can improvements be made to the accounting impacts
estimates of Ponds

D Terraces - how can we ignore tillage + Chopping
practices above the terraces themselves Attending: Dave B. MikeT. Steve G. Megan S. Jim Keelliker of K-State is advising (Refer to e-mail for outline numbers as written below) It Potential Data Sources - Federal Task 2- Non-Federal Reservoirs - State Task KS - Satellite Data processing - to see if some are still functioning - to see if some are not NE-improved location - Sending survey crew as needed Est. (160,000 CO) Terrace 2 900,000 KS Acres (700,000 NE) CO-jurisdictional + non-jurisdictional ("live stock water tank") Area-Capacity Table Questions - is evap. impact (evep.) Shouldn't the actual use, cropland grass land be subtracted Modue "Kryger, trom the evap to see the Din consumption Land Terrace Info. - not enough detail in NRI- national website existing NRI-problem is a Sample, so its ok on basin level a does it break out the type of terrace to Derret Martin - aerial photos for NE & how much for KS Mike Thompson - ask Derrel if NRCS has any records KS- east = open terrace west=closed terrace. can you tell on Photo which is open tuhichische * classify + list + define terrace types

1	pag	n	7		
	rag		_		

[3] cont. - When it rains - where does the water pond?

4 SSURGO & STASGO - CO+ Pert of KS NE + Part of KS

15 Aquitards + Aquicludes - Ask committee on when or why or we don't have it anyway.

[6] - Slopes + Topo. Characteristics

NE-dems + tras 1:24000 KS-dems scales?

C-Topo. characteristics - Geomorphology -NE has coarse data

7- Rely on model inputs Estreantlow broken into base flow - Dave B. will wrap it.

[8] - Model Weather Station Info. - some one needs to characterize what was used front used.

Dave B. will do.

9- Evapo/Transpiration Step 1- characterize the work done by the modelers Phrestophytes what does this have to do with ponds t terraces

a.) climatic data

6.) Pan Evap C) RRCA Model

Cropsym w Koelliker, James K-State Could summarize the work done by modelers

DATE 10-3-2003 RRC Conservation Committee Data Task Group 10 Landuse / Landcover a. Past Cropping Patterns - NASS-model

Mika Thompson - NLCD & LULC

b. Current Cropping Patterns - NASS NE- 1970's Crop distribution County by County Land Usa/ Land Cover KS- Satellite Imagery & Photos for a single year, mostly in NE, by Kansas was partially covered KU-KARS land cover data base c. Future? Who knows? efotgfield office electronic field office technical guide d. Tillage Practices -Mike T. ask Dernell about this - County Extension agents + researchers. Make List + explain

Categories ecofallow, no-till, conventional till, ridge til Wrap Up -- - categorize + characterize what data we have as listed on out line. October 24th 2:30 PM central Mtn

Mike Thompson

From:

Barfield, Dave [DBARfIELD@KDA.STATE.KS.US]

Sent:

Thursday, October 02, 2003 11:09 AM

To:

Doug Garrison; Megan Sullivan Mike Thompson

Subject:

RRC conservation committee



Data task group report doc

Fellow data task group members,

I would like to have a conference call to discuss the work on the data task group. Doug informed me that he is not available next week. I wonder if we could talk tomorrow just to get coordinated. How about 3:00 pm central/2:00 mountain? If so, the call-in number would be 1-702-759-8418.

The passcode would be 2963830#)

Let me know if another time tomorrow would work better.

Doug Garrison's phone number is incorrect in the notes of the committee. His contact information is below.

I have taken the data section from the proposal study outline and made it its own document for our work. It is attached. NRCS has provided us with quite a bit of information that fits into the outline. When should be plugging what we know into the outline.

We will need to work closely with the other groups as the methods selected will drive the needed data and data that is not found will drive the field work that is needed.

<<Data task group report.doc>>

David Barfield KS Department of Agriculture Division of Water Resources 785-296-3830

Doug Garrison, Resource Specialist USDA/NRCS Seward Field Office

(402) 643-4586 Ext. 115

(402) 641-7693 Cell

(402) 643-2326 FAX

1

Data task group Conservation Committee Republican River Compact Settlement October 2, 2003 Draft

A. General types of relevant data available	
1. Potential data sources a. NRI – Terraces/Tillage b.SSURGO data base - Small reservoirs c. Digital Orthroquads d. State Inventory of Dams e. RRCA Model: Input and output data	
f. CTIC - Tillage g. Satellite/Aerial Photos — can it be shared. Does KS have la h. NHD classifications	sh
2. Non-Federal Reservoirs data needs	
b. Reservoir Volume c. Reservoir type (use) d. Condition of reservoir (% silted in, breached, etc.) e. Reservoir location f. Contributing Drainage Area g. Date Reservoir Constructed/retired	
3. Land Terraces data needs a. Surface area of land terrace — FLat Water Group b. Land terrace type c. Condition of Terrace (% silted in, replaced with sprinkler irrigation, etc) d. Land terrace location e. Contributing Drainage Area f. Date Terrace Constructed/retired or replaced with sprinkler irrigation.	
4. Soil Characteristics - KS did "Soils Light" a. Permeability b. Hydrologic group c. Soil water holding capacity	
5. Geologic Characteristics a. Presence and distribution of aquitards or aquicludes Sub-surface	
6. Drainage Characteristics DEM 10 meter a. Slope Percent or Degree b. Slope Length c. Topographic characteristics — Geomorphology	

- 7. Streamflow Records
 - a. Total stream flow
 - b. Baseflow
 - c. Surface Flow (non-baseflow)
- 8. Precipitation
 - a. Amount
 - b. Timing
 - c. Frequency
 - d. Intensity
 - e. Location
- 9. Evaporation/Evapotranspiration
 - a. Climatic data
 - b. Pan Evap
 - c. RRCA Model
- 10. Landuse / Landcover
 - a. Past Cropping Patterns
 - b. Current Cropping Patterns
 - c. Future Cropping Patterns
 - d. Tillage practices

CLU along with cropping info. from 1991

B. Basin wide data availability and assessment of accuracy and precision of that data

- 1. RRCA Model (data has been verified and accepted)
- 2. Sampling and Ground Truthing
- 3. Statistical tests
- 4. Missing data will need to be addressed (fill in holes)
- C. Data standards
- D. Additional data needs

STUDY TO DETERMINE THE IMPACTS OF NON-FEDERAL RESERVOIR AND LAND TERRACING ON THE REPUBLICAN RIVER VIRGIN WATER SUPPLY 7/18/03 Draft Outline

I. Settlement Requirements – Section VI.

A. Evaluate available methodologies, existing data and relevant studies related to determining the impacts of Non-Federal Reservoirs and land terracing practices on water supplies.

1. Methodologies

- a. <u>Multiple Regression:</u> (doesn't tell case & data records may not be significant statistically) should summarize what previous studies have shown.
- b. <u>Synoptic Hydrogeomorphic:</u> (may not have basins that we can compare to address with and without but we do have before and after)
- c. Water Budget Approach
- d. Rational Method: (Tech. Bulletin 1352)
- e. Soil Water Balance Models: (POTYDR, SWAT and others)
- f. <u>Direct Measurement at Sample Locations</u>: Ground measurements (metering of terraces and ponds), satellite photos, etc.
- g. Change in Crop Yield Trends (with and without terraces)
- h. Combination of above

3. Studies/Reports

- a. Perspectives on Sustainable Development of Water Resources in Kansas, 1998
- b. Estimating Yield from Watershed Undergoing Changes, 1995
- c. Republican River Basin Water Management Study, 1985
- d. Impact of Improved Agricultural Water Use Efficiency on Reservoir Storage, 1984
- e. Missouri River Basin Hydrology Study Final Report, 1983
- f. Agriculture Water Use Including Identification of Irrigated Lands, 1982
- g. Missouri River Comprehensive Framework Study, 1966
- h. Evaluation of Relative Effect of Conservation Measures & GW Pumping, 1999
- i. Republican River Basin Neb. Water and Related Land Resources Study, 1978
- j. Technical Bulletin No. 1352, 1966
- k. Statistical Estimation of Streamflow Depletion from Irrigation Wells, 2002

B. Determine general types of relevant data available.

- 1. Potential Data Resources
 - a. NRI Terraces/Tillage
 - b. SURGO data base Small reservoirs
 - c. Digital Orthroquads
 - d. State Inventory of Dams
 - e. RRCA Model: Input and output data
 - f. CTIC Tillage
 - g. Satellite/Aerial Photos

2. Non-Federal Reservoirs data needs

- a. Surface area of reservoirs
- b. Reservoir Volume
- c. Reservoir type (use)
- d. Condition of reservoir (% silted in, breached, etc.)
- e. Reservoir location
- f. Contributing Drainage Area
- g. Date Reservoir Constructed/retired

2. Land Terraces data needs

- a. Surface area of land terrace
- b. Land terrace type
- c. Condition of Terrace (% silted in, replaced with sprinkler irrigation, etc)
- d. Land terrace location
- e. Contributing Drainage Area
- f. Date Terrace Constructed/retired or replaced with sprinkler irrigation.

3. Soil Characteristics

- a. Permeability
- b. Hydrologic group
- c. Soil water holding capacity

4. Geologic Characteristics

- a. Presence and distribution of aquitards or aquicludes
- 5. Drainage Characteristics
 - a. Slope Percent or Degree
 - b. Slope Length
 - c. Topographic characteristics

6. Streamflow Records

- a. Total stream flow
- b. Baseflow
- c. Surface Flow (non-baseflow)

- 7. Precipitation
 - a. Amount
 - b. Timing
 - c. Frequency
 - d. Intensity
 - e. Location
- 8. Evaporation/Evapotranspiration
 - a. Climatic data
 - b. Pan Evap
 - c. RRCA Model
- 9. Landuse / Landcover
 - a. Past Cropping Patterns
 - b. Current Cropping Patterns
 - c. Future Cropping Patterns
 - d. Tillage practices
- C. Determine basin wide availability and assess accuracy and precision of data.
 - 1. RRCA Model (data has been verified and accepted)
 - 2. Sampling and Ground Truthing
 - 3. Statistical tests
 - 4. Missing data will need to be addressed (fill in holes)
- D. Agree on standards for data.
- E. Identify additional data required to determine quantitative changes in the water supply resulting from the construction of terraces or non-federal reservoirs.
 - F. Propose a method for assessing area-capacity relationship for non-federal reservoirs.
 - 1. Field sampling
 - 2. NRCS Method
 - G. Submit a study plan to determine the quantitative changes in the water supply resulting from the construction of terraces and non-federal reservoirs, including if such changes can be determined for each Designated Drainage Basin, to the RRCA.
- II. Define the Study Proposal Outline
 - A Background
 - B. Statement of Problem
 - C. Purpose of Study

- D. Survey of Literature
 - 1. Methodologies
 - 2. Data Evaluation
- E. Proposed Methodology
 - 1. Data Needs
 - 2. Analytical Procedures
 - 3. QA-QC
- F. Outputs
- G. Study Administration
- H. Timeline for completing study within 5 years of date proposed study is accepted by RRCA
- I. Budget Needs
- J. Evaluation and follow up.
- III. Study Administration: The Conservation Committee will administer the Study but may contract certain portions to a university or other entity.