

No. 126, Original

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—

STATE OF KANSAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEBRASKA

and

STATE OF COLORADO,

Defendants.

—◆—

STATE OF NEBRASKA'S NOTICE OF CORRECTED FILING
OF MAY 21, 2012 DECLARATION OF
WILLEM A. SCHREÜDER

—◆—

JON C. BRUNING
Attorney General of Nebraska
DAVID D. COOKSON
Deputy Attorney General

JUSTIN D. LAVENE
Counsel of Record
Assistant Attorney General
Post Office Box 98920
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-8920
(402) 471-2682

DONALD G. BLANKENAU
THOMAS R. WILMOTH
Special Assistant Attorneys General
BLANKENAU WILMOTH LLP
206 South 13th Street, Suite 1425
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508-2002
(402) 475-7080
Attorneys for State of Nebraska

The State of Nebraska hereby provides Notice of Corrected Filing of the *Declaration of Willem A. Schreüder* pursuant to the request for clarification made by the Special Master in an email from Ms. Elizabeth Umland sent June 15, 2012.

On May 21, 2012, Nebraska filed the *Declaration of James C. Schneider* and the *Declaration of Willem A. Schreüder* pursuant to a request of the Special Master as documented in the Report of May 16, 2012, Telephone Conference filed May 18, 2012. Hard copies of Exhibits “A” and “B” to the *Declaration of James C. Schneider* were mailed to the Special Master and counsel. Inadvertently, “Exhibit A” to the *Declaration of Willem A. Schreüder* was not included in the initial filing.

Enclosed is the corrected filing of the *Declaration of Willem A. Schreüder* including “Exhibit A,” the original Nebraska proposal for the Calculation Beneficial Consumptive Use of Imported Water Supply.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of June, 2012.

STATE OF NEBRASKA,

JON C. BRUNING
Attorney General of Nebraska
DAVID D. COOKSON
Deputy Attorney General



JUSTIN D. LAVENE
Counsel of Record
Assistant Attorney General
Post Office Box 98920
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-8920
(402) 471-2682
justin.lavene@nebraska.gov

DONALD G. BLANKENAU
THOMAS R. WILMOTH
Special Assistant Attorneys General
BLANKENAU WILMOTH LLP
206 South 13th Street, Suite 1425
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508-2002
(402) 475-7080
don@aqualawyers.com
tom@aqualawyers.com

Attorneys for State of Nebraska

No. 126, Original

In The
Supreme Court of the United States



STATE OF KANSAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEBRASKA

and

STATE OF COLORADO,

Defendants.



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Justin D. Lavene, counsel for the State of Nebraska in the above-captioned matter, hereby certify that on June 19, 2012, the original and one copy of STATE OF NEBRASKA’S NOTICE OF CORRECTED FILING OF MAY 21, 2012 DECLARATION OF WILLEM A. SCHREÜDER and supporting documentation were e-mailed and/or mailed to all parties as indicated in Appendix A of Case Management Plan No. 2, dated October 14, 2011.

I further certify that on the same date, this Certificate of Service was distributed to the parties listed below as specified in Appendix A of the Case Management Plan:

Hon. William J. Kayatta, Jr.
Special Master
PIERCE ATWOOD LLP
One Monument Square
Portland, ME 04101
eumland@PierceAtwood.com

Autumn L. Bernhardt
Assistant Attorney General
Counsel of Record
Chad Wallace
Assistant Attorney General
Federal & Interstate Water Unit
Natural Resources & Environment Section
1525 Sherman Street, 7th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
autumn.bernhardt@state.co.us
chad.wallace@state.co.us

John B. Draper
Counsel of Record
MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, PA
P.O. Box 2307
Santa Fe, NM 87504-2307
jdraper@montand.com

David B. Verrilli, Jr.
Counsel of Record
Acting Solicitor General
Department of Justice
Washington, DC 20530-0001
supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov
james.dubois@usdoj.gov

Derek Schmidt
Attorney General
State of Kansas
120 SW 10th Street
Topeka, KS 66612
John.Campbell@ksag.org
Jeff.Chanay@ksag.org
Chris.Grunewald@ksag.org
Burke.Griggs@kda.ks.gov



Justin D. Lavene
Counsel of Record

No. 126, Original

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

_____◆_____

STATE OF KANSAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEBRASKA

and

STATE OF COLORADO,

Defendants.

_____◆_____

DECLARATION OF WILLEM A. SCHREÜDER, Ph.D.

_____◆_____

JOHN W. SUTHERS
Attorney General of Colorado
AUTUMN L. BERNHARDT*
Assistant Attorney General
*Counsel of Record**
Chad M. Wallace
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Federal and Interstate Water Unit
Natural Resources and Environment Section
Colorado Office of the Attorney General
1525 Sherman St., 7th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
Telephone: (303)866-5032
Email: autumn.bernhardt@state.co.us
Fax: (303)866-3558
Attorneys for the State of Colorado

May 21, 2012

DECLARATION OF DR. WILLEM A. SCHREÜDER, Ph.D.

I, DR. WILLEM A. SCHREÜDER, am over 18 years of age, having personal knowledge of the matters contained herein, state as follows:

1. Pursuant to contract with all three States, I run and maintain the official version of the RRCA Groundwater Model.

2. Through my work, I understand both the RRCA Groundwater Model and the RRCA Accounting Procedures.

3. I have been Colorado's expert witness on matters involving the Model and the Accounting Procedures.

4. During the summer of 2007, Nebraska presented a solution to the issue of consumption of imported water posed by the existing RRCA Accounting Procedures. That proposed solution, involving five runs of the Model, is presented in Exhibit A attached hereto.

5. Kansas rejected this proposed solution, and in response Nebraska pursued a more elaborate solution involving sixteen runs of the Model. The sixteen runs included the five runs originally proposed by Nebraska in 2007.

6. During the Arbitration preceding this litigation, I analyzed the sixteen run proposal and concluded it was not appropriate for various reasons. However, I concluded the originally proposed five runs would be an appropriate solution to resolve the consumption of imported water identified by Nebraska.

7. I explained that in my expert report filed with the Arbitrator. See Willem A. Schreüder, Ph.D., *Report in Response to: Estimating Computed Beneficial Consumptive Use for Groundwater and Imported Water Supply under the Republican River Compact*, Ahlfeld, et al. (February 16, 2009) at 7-8. During the Arbitration, I was deposed concerning my views, and later testified, subject to cross-examination by all parties on those views, including my view that the RRCA Accounting Procedures should not allow for the consumption of imported water. See,

e.g., Arbitration Transcript at 1408-09. The Arbitrator agreed with me. See Arbitrator's Final Decision Finding 35.

8. Nebraska's expert report in this matter, authored by Dr. James C. Schneider, is premised on pursuit of the sixteen run proposal. Once again, my expert report filed in this litigation explains why that proposal should be rejected. However, once again, I have explained in my expert report why the five run proposal is appropriate to avoid the consumption of imported water. Schreüder Report at CO000000405, CO000000414-6; CO000000431 (Fig. 11 *et seq.*).

9. I was subpoenaed in this matter by the State of Nebraska, but not the State of Kansas, to appear at a deposition in April 2012. However, that subpoena was withdrawn.

10. The solution I have identified in my report, which utilizes a five run subset of the sixteen runs proposed in Dr. Schneider's report, provides an appropriate modification to the RRCA Accounting Procedures to avoid burdening any State with the consumption of imported water.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

5/21/2012



Date

Willem A. Schreüder, Ph.D.

Attachment 1

**Calculation of Computed Beneficial Consumptive Use
And Imported Water Supply
Using the RRCA Ground Water Model**

Nebraska Department of Natural Resources

The state of Nebraska has determined that methods used to calculate Computed Beneficial Consumptive Use (**CBCU**) of water in the Nebraska portion of the Republican Basin have overstated the consumptive use. Imported Water Supply has been incorrectly included as part of the Virgin Water Supply. Therefore, Imported Water Supply has been incorrectly included as part of the **CBCU**. This incorrect calculation has overstated Nebraska's consumptive use of water in the Republican Basin by approximately 7,000 acre-feet per year.

INTRODUCTION

The Beneficial Consumptive Use of Imported Water Supply is discussed in Section IV.F. of the Republican River *Final Settlement Stipulation*, dated December 15, 2002:

Beneficial Consumptive Use of Imported Water Supply shall not count as Computed Beneficial Consumptive Use or Virgin Water Supply. Credit shall be given for any remaining Imported Water Supply that is reflected in increased stream flow, except as provided in Subsection V.B. Determinations of Beneficial Consumptive Use from Imported Water Supply (whether determined expressly or by implication), and any Imported Water Supply Credit shall be calculated in accordance with the RRCA Accounting Procedures and by using the RRCA Groundwater Model.

The calculations, as they have been incorporated in the past, are written into the Final Settlement Stipulations, Appendix C, Section III, primarily in Subsections A, B, and D. Imported water that makes its way to a stream gage may be counted as a credit. During a Water Short Year this credit must meet the requirements of Section V.B.2.b:

Nebraska may offset any Computed Beneficial Consumptive Use in excess of its Allocation that is derived from sources above Guide Rock with Imported Water Supply Credit. If Nebraska chooses to exercise its option to offset with Imported Water Supply Credit, Nebraska will receive credit only for Imported Water Supply that: (1) produces water above Harlan County Lake; (2) produces water below Harlan County Lake and above Guide Rock that can be diverted during the Bostwick irrigation season; (3) produces water that can be stored and is needed to fill Lovewell Reservoir; or (4) Kansas and Nebraska will explore crediting water that is otherwise useable by Kansas.

VARIABLE DESCRIPTIONS

The acronyms or variables are used in this paper are described in Table 2. For the purpose of this paper, flood flows and the change in storage in Federal reservoirs are ignored; therefore the Computed Water Supply is the same as the Virgin Water Supply.

Table 2. Variable Names.

Variable	Description
V	Virgin Water Supply: The Water Supply within the Basin undepleted by the activities of man. $V = VWS = V_{GAGE} + V_{CONSUMED}$
V_{GAGE}	Amount of base flow at the gaged accounting points that may be attributed to V .
$V_{CONSUMED}$	Amount of V that is depleted by Ground Water Pumping.
I	Imported Water Supply: The water supply imported by a State from outside the Basin resulting from the activities of man. $I = I_{GAGE} + I_{CONSUMED}$
I_{GAGE}	Amount of base flow at the gaged accounting points that may be attributed to I . $I_{GAGE} = IWS =$ Imported Water Supply Credit in Appendix C
$I_{CONSUMED}$	Amount of I that is consumed by ground water pumping.
T	Total Water Supply from ground water. $T = T_{GAGE} + T_{CONSUMED}$ And $T = V + I$
T_{GAGE}	Total base flow at the gaged accounting points.
$T_{CONSUMED}$	Total depletions to stream flow due to ground water pumping.

EXAMPLE CALCULATIONS

In order to demonstrate how the Mound Credit should be applied, the following example will use the values shown in Table 3. (These values are not related to actual values from any one year.) The values shown would be in units of thousands of acre-feet (kAF).

Table 3. Values Used In Example Calculations.

Variable	Value
$V = V_{GAGE} + V_{CONSUMED}$	280
V_{GAGE}	130
$V_{CONSUMED}$	150
$I = I_{GAGE} + I_{CONSUMED}$	70
$I_{GAGE} = IWS$	20
$I_{CONSUMED}$	50
$T = V + I = T_{GAGE} + T_{CONSUMED}$	350
$T_{CONSUMED}$	200
$T_{GAGE} = V_{GAGE} + I_{GAGE}$	150

The Republican River Compact Administration (RRCA) Groundwater Model is used to calculate the ground water base flows at key stream gages for each basin by comparing three model runs as shown in Table 4. The calculations are specified in Appendix C, Section III of the settlement.

Table 4. Current Model Calculations.

Run Name	Imported Water (Mound)	Ground Water Pumping	Measured or Calculated Stream Flow	Calculation and Result
Base Run	On	On	150	$T_{GAGE} = V_{GAGE} + I_{GAGE}$ $= 130 + 20 = 150$
No NE Import	Off	On	130	V_{GAGE}
No State Pumping	On	Off	350	$T = V_{GAGE} + I_{GAGE} + T_{CONSUMED}$ $= 130 + 20 + 200$

Correct Calculation of IWS. The first scenario, Mound On|Pumping On, is referred to as the *Base Run* in Appendix C. The ground water base flow from the second run is subtracted from the Base Run to calculate the Imported Water Supply Credit (Section C.III.A.3).

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{GAGE} &= IWS \\
 &= [\text{Mound On|Pumping On}] - [\text{Mound Off|Pumping On}] \\
 &= T_{GAGE} - V_{GAGE} \\
 &= 150 - 130 = 20
 \end{aligned}$$

This calculation is correct; it is consistent with the wording of the FSS.

Incorrect Calculation of CBCU. As currently defined in Appendix C, the Base Run is subtracted from the third run in order to calculate the *CBCU* of ground water (Section C.III.D.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{CBCU} &= [\text{Mound On|Pumping Off}] - [\text{Mound On|Pumping On}] \\
 &= T - T_{\text{GAGE}} \\
 &= T_{\text{CONSUMED}} \\
 &= 350 - 150 = 200
 \end{aligned}$$

This formula is incorrect because it calculates the total impact of pumping on stream flow, which includes the consumptive use of the Imported Water Supply. Instead, according to the FSS, the *CBCU* should consist only of Virgin Water Supply depleted by pumping. Therefore the *CBCU* calculation should result in V_{CONSUMED} , the amount of Virgin Water Supply depleted by wells, which in this example = 150.

This incorrectly-defined *CBCU* is then used to calculate *V*, the Virgin Water Supply.

Correct Calculation of CBCU. Instead of starting with calculating *CBCU* as described above, and subsequently calculating *V*, we should instead start by calculating *V* directly from a single model run. This more direct way to calculate *V* is to run the ground water model with the fourth option not specified in Appendix C: remove the impact of activities of man by turning all the ground water pumping off and the mound recharge off, as shown in Table 5:

Table 5. Direct Calculation of Virgin Water Supply Using the Ground Water Model.

Imported Water (Mound)	Ground Water Pumping	Calculated Stream Flow	Calculation
Off	Off	280	$ \begin{aligned} V &= VWS \\ &= V_{\text{GAGE}} + V_{\text{CONSUMED}} \\ &= 130 + 150 = 280 \end{aligned} $

The result from the [Mound Off|Pumping Off] model run is the total amount of *V* in the basin. To arrive at the correct *CBCU*, which is defined in the Stipulations as derived entirely from *VWS*, we need to subtract the *ICREDIT*, or that portion from the ground water mound that goes to the stream, from the (total) Imported Water Supply to arrive at the amount of *I* that is being consumed by wells in the basin. This number must then be subtracted from the total depletion from pumping to arrive at the *CBCU*, or V_{CONSUMED} , the depletion from the Virgin Water Supply.

Alternatively, we can calculate *CBCU* by subtracting the Virgin Water Supply at the gage from the modeled total Virgin Water Supply:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{CBCU} &= \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{CONSUMED}} \\
 &= \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{GAGE}} \\
 &= [\text{Mound Off|Pumping Off}] - [\text{Mound Off|Pumping On}] \\
 &= 280 - 130 = 150
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the correct method to calculate CBCU, the basic formula for calculating the Virgin Water Supply (which uses gage measurements rather than modeled base flows at the gages) remains correct:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{V} &= \mathbf{VWS} \\
 &= \text{Gage} + \text{All } \mathbf{CBCU} - \mathbf{IWS} \\
 &= \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{GAGE}} + \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{CONSUMED}} - \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{GAGE}} \\
 &= 150 + 150 - 20 = 280
 \end{aligned}$$

SUMMARY

The RRCA Accounting Procedures need to be revised as suggested in this document, so that they conform to the letter and spirit of the Final Settlement Stipulations. A State that imports water and uses it in the Republican Basin should not have that use charged as if it were Computed Beneficial Consumptive Use derived from the Virgin Water Supply of the basin.