

# Comparison of Spending and Value Added, Kansas and Nebraska

(Kansas KBID and Nebraska wells only, Nominal dollars per acre)

	<u>Spending</u>				<u>Value Added</u>				<u>Dif VA as % of Dif Crop Value</u>		
	Full Irrigated	Actual Irrigation	Dryland	Prevented Planting	Full Irrigated	Actual Irrigation	Dryland	Prevented Planting	Actual Irrigation	Dryland	Prevented Planting
<b>2005</b>											
<b><u>Nebraska</u></b>											
<b>Upper</b>	200.40		86.89		138.92		15.31		52.1%		
<b>Middle</b>	183.87		90.06		135.95		35.01		51.8%		
<b>Lower</b>	180.54		106.54		169.96		63.29		59.0%		
<b><u>Kansas</u></b>											
<b>Above</b>	206.58	174.04	122.57	85.14	151.74	142.65	87.89	155.24	21.8%	43.2%	-3.0%
<b>Below</b>	203.66	175.50	122.57	86.14	153.26	141.37	87.89	155.24	29.7%	44.6%	-1.7%
<b>2006</b>											
<b><u>Nebraska</u></b>											
<b>Upper</b>	257.16		98.17		286.58		33.19		61.4%		
<b>Middle</b>	223.28		102.38		254.19		69.20		60.5%		
<b>Lower</b>	204.77		112.59		260.22		91.61		64.7%		
<b><u>Kansas</u></b>											
<b>Above</b>	205.59	176.15	118.05	98.54	296.29	286.44	201.37	211.78	25.1%	52.0%	44.1%
<b>Below</b>	202.58	169.64	118.05	98.54	294.19	290.16	201.05	211.78	10.9%	52.4%	44.2%

**Sources:**

Tables 29-32 of Economic Analysis of Kansas Losses report and tables 17-18 of Economic Analysis of Nebraska Benefits report.

(The values, except for prevented planting, are averages for the various crops, weighted by the acreage of each.)