No. 126, Original

In the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STATE OF KANSAS,
Plaintiff

V.

STATE OF NEBRASKA and STATE OF COLORADO, Defendants.

Before Special Master William J. Kayatta, Jr.

Pumping Reduction Impacts for 2005-2006

Expert Report of Samuel P. Perkins¹ and Steven P. Larson²

¹Civil Engineer, Interstate Water Issues, Kansas Dept. Of Agriculture, Div. of Water Resources;

²S. S. Papadopulos & Associates, Inc., Bethesda, MD.

November 18, 2011

No. 126, Orig. Ex. K19

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction
Method of Analysis
LIST OF TABLES
Table 1: Reduction in groundwater irrigation and pumping, and corresponding reduction of Nebraska impact on Republican River stream flow, under the remedy scenario
Table 2: Impact reductions by accounting point for 2005 and 2006
LIST OF FIGURES
Figure 1: Map of model grid cells associated with Nebraska's the 10% - 2 year response corridor, excluding Tri-Basin NRD.

Introduction

As part of the broader analysis of economic damages due to Nebraska's failure to comply with the Final Settlement Stipulation's water-short year test for 2006, we were asked to compute the impacts of a remedy scenario.

Method of Analysis

Under this scenario, groundwater pumping for irrigation for the years 2005 and 2006 was removed within model cells identified as Nebraska's Rapid Response Region (10% - 2 year response corridor) in the Republican River basin in Nebraska, excluding such cells in the Tri-Basin NRD. Figure 1 shows the affected cells in red. The 10% - 2 year response corridor was defined by Nebraska as those cells in which at least ten percent of pumping is supplied by stream depletion within two years. These computations were made using the RRCA groundwater model. In performing these computations, all other conditions in the model for the years 2005 and 2006 were the same as those in the RRCA historical model runs for these years. In particular, groundwater irrigation area outside the stream cells remained the same as was reported for years 2005 and 2006.

Results of this analysis are compiled on Tables 1, 2 and 3 below. As Table 1 shows, this scenario reduced groundwater irrigation pumping by an average of 99,294 acre-feet and groundwater irrigated area by average of 109,660 acres from the corresponding historical values for 2005 and 2006. Nebraska's impact—i.e., the net difference of Nebraska pumping impacts and Platte River import credits— is reduced by a total of 42,522 acre-feet for the two years under the reduced pumping conditions. Note that both groundwater irrigation pumping and irrigated area are different in 2005 versus 2006.

Table 1: Reduction in groundwater irrigation and pumping, and corresponding reduction of Nebraska impact on Republican River stream flow, under the remedy scenario.

Year	Groundwater irrigation pumping reduction (acre-feet)	Groundwater irrigation area reduction (acres)	Nebraska impact reduction, pumping - import credit (acre-feet)		
2005	111,313	115,440	15,462		
2006	87,276	103,881	27,060		
2005-2006	Average: 99,294	Average: 109,660	Sum: 42,522		

Table 2: Impact reductions by accounting point for 2005 and 2006.

	Year						
Account point	2005	2006	Average 2005- 2006	Sum 2005- 2006			
Arikaree	72	73	73	145			
Beaver	0	0	0	0			
Buffalo	75	175	125	250			
Driftwood	35	85	60	120			
Frenchman	5,842	6,095	5,968	11,937			
North Fork	2	3	2	5			
Above Swanson	2,737	3,370	3,053	6,107			
Swanson - Harlan	-4,106	6,149	1,023	2,043			
Harlan - Guide Rock	6,741	5,592	6,167	12,333			
Guide Rock - Hardy	1,350	1,233	1,292	2,583			
Medicine	1,981	3,127	2,553	5,108			
Prairie Dog	0	0	0	0			
Red Willow	74	222	148	296			
Rock	0	1	0	1			
Sappa	0	0	0	0			
South Fork	112	401	256	513			
Hugh Butler	106	71	88	177			
Bonny	0	0	0	0			
Keith Sebelius	0	0	0	0			
Enders	186	278	232	464			
Harlan	99	58	78	157			
Harry Strunk	24	3	13	27			
Swanson	122	125	123	247			
Mainstem Total	6,727	16,344	11,536	23,071			
Total	15,462	27,060	21,261	42,522			

Table 3: Nebraska pumping impact and Imported Water Supply credit by accounting point for 2005 and 2006. (a) historical conditions, (b) pumping removed within the 10% - 2 year response corridor; and (c) change in pumping impact and IWS credit due to pumping reductions.

Scenario (a, b):	a. Historical conditions				b. No pumping in 10-2 corridor			c. Change in pumping impact and IWS credit due to pumping reductions				
Location	Pumping IWS Credit		Credit	Pumping IWS Credit		Credit	Pumping		IWS 0	IWS Credit		
Location	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006
Arikaree	250	125	0	0	178	52	0	0	-72	-73	0	0
Beaver	2,684	3,517	0	0	2,684	3,517	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buffalo	3,357	3,335	0	0	3,282	3,160	0	0	-75	-175	0	0
Driftwood	1,481	1,422	0	0	1,446	1,337	0	0	-35	-85	0	0
Frenchman	78,069	73,700	0	0	72,227	67,605	0	0	-5,842	-6,095	0	0
North Fork	836	866	0	0	834	863	0	0	-2	-3	0	0
Above Swanson	11,599	9,434	0	0	8,862	6,064	0	0	-2,737	-3,370	0	0
Swanson - Harlan	39,772	37,580	2,061	2,536	44,695	37,476	2,878	8,581	4,923	-104	817	6,045
Harlan - Guide Rock	28,360	26,022	220	237	21,627	20,430	228	237	-6,733	-5,592	8	0
Guide Rock - Hardy	3,654	3,054	0	0	2,304	1,821	0	0	-1,350	-1,233	0	0
Medicine	20,414	19,564	9,633	9,398	18,689	16,710	9,889	9,671	-1,725	-2,854	256	273
Prairie Dog	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Red Willow	6,596	6,099	35	25	6,522	5,878	35	26	-74	-221	0	1
Rock	3,744	3,845	0	0	3,744	3,844	0	0	0	-1	0	0
Sappa	702	1,028	0	0	702	1,028	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Fork	1,372	1,040	0	0	1,260	639	0	0	-112	-401	0	0
Hugh Butler	1,709	1,647	0	0	1,603	1,576	0	0	-106	-71	0	0
Bonny	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Keith Sebelius	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enders	4,650	4,624	0	0	4,464	4,346	0	0	-186	-278	0	0
Harlan	857	810	17	18	757	751	16	17	-100	-59	-1	-1
Harry Strunk	352	326	0	0	328	323	0	0	-24	-3	0	0
Swanson	421	374	0	0	299	249	0	0	-122	-125	0	0
Mainstem	83,385	76,090	2,274	2,768	77,487	65,791	3,103	8,813	-5,898	-10,299	829	6,045
Total	210,881	198,411	11,966	12,218	196,506	177,668	13,053	18,535	-14,375	-20,743	1,087	6,317

Figure 1: Map of model grid cells associated with Nebraska's the 10% - 2 year response corridor, excluding Tri-Basin NRD.

