Review of Total Water Use of Water Rights Enrolled:
During the term of this WCA, water use was limited to 2529.191 acre-feet (AF) for irrigation use. The total water use reported annually, under the listed water rights, during the term of this WCA are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Water Use (AF)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Water Use (AF)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Water Use (AF)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Water Use (AF)</th>
<th>Total WCA Use (AF)</th>
<th>Unused WCA Allocation (AF)</th>
<th>Total WCA Limit (AF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The total average use during this WCA was 342.808 acre-feet.

Review of Corrective Control Provisions:
This WCA had three irrigation wells within its geographic boundaries which were used for irrigation purposes. No flexibilities were requested except for a multi-year allocation. As of the end of the 2021 calendar year, no adverse impacts have been noted and no concerns were received from nearby well/landowners.
Review of Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement:
During the period of this WCA, there were no compliance or enforcement issues relating to the water rights and corrective controls of the management plan.

Review of Water Level Changes:
Below are the nearby observation wells documented in the management plan. Note that the measurements were taken in the early calendar year months. Outliers measured outside of this time range are labeled with the month they were measured.

Location:
22S-32W-21CDC 01

Review of Economic Impacts:
No official economic reports have been published at this time.

Review of Public Interest:
The Larry Goss Farms WCA has had no known appreciable negative impacts on the public interest. Conversely, it can be stated that the conservation of water along with extending the usable life of the Ogallala Aquifer in that regional area, on the ground owned by Larry Goss, has positively impacted the public interest area.

Summary of Review by KDA-DWR:
It is determined that this WCA has met its goals throughout the designated term. This WCA conserved over 30% of its WCA allocation; thereby saving water beyond the agreed original WCA goal. The owners of this WCA met all requirements of the WCA Consent Agreement and Order. In summary, we see no need to deny a request, from the owner(s), should they request a future WCA.