

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2018

Topeka Field Office
DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

September 22, 2018

Department of Agriculture
Division of Water Resources
Topeka Field Office
6531 SE Forbes Ave., Suite B
Topeka, KS 66619

RE: City of Wichita Pending New Applications, File Numbers. 48-704 – 48733

Dear Mr. Schemm:

Thank you for notifying me of the pending new applications by the City of Wichita. I have a number of concerns regarding the proposal to allow the recovery of aquifer recharge credits at these existing wells.

1. Applications should be placed on hold until a decision is made regarding the Minimum Index Levels.
 2. Approval of the above referenced applications would allow additional water to be appropriated in an already over appropriated area.
 3. Applications for aquifer storage and recovery wells should be subject to Safe Yield Regulation. Exempting these wells from the safe yield regulation is discriminatory.
 4. In the interest of the public a decision regarding these applications should be deferred to the next administration.
-
1. Applications should be placed on hold until a decision is made regarding the Minimum Index Levels.

The City of Wichita ASR Permit Modification Proposal Revised Minimum Index Levels & Aquifer Maintenance Credits (the "Proposal") poses even further harm to residents in the Basin Storage Area if in addition to allowing the appropriation of an additional 500 acre-feet the City is also allowed to lower the minimum index levels another 9 – 23 feet below the 1993 levels. Residents will be forced to deepen all existing wells and still run the risk of not having water available based on the significant rate of withdrawal being requested by the City. Where there is a conflict between water uses domestic use should be given priority. The City of Wichita Proposals will threaten the viability of existing domestic wells. In rural areas residents rely completely on domestic wells for all of their water needs including drinking water and this proposal is a direct threat to their ability to sustain life without being forced to move to town.

RECEIVED

OCT 24 2018

Stafford Field Office

2. Approval of the above referenced applications would allow additional water to be appropriated in an already over appropriated area.

The above referenced applications seek to appropriate an additional 500 acre-feet of groundwater for each of the 30 applications in an already **over-appropriated area**. Many of these applications do not meet domestic well spacing requirements. Spacing requirements are put in place to prevent impairment of other wells. Other applications for water rights in this area are being denied due to the over appropriation and these applications should be treated the same.

3. Applications for aquifer storage and recovery wells should be subject to Safe Yield Regulation. Exempting these wells from the safe yield regulation is discriminatory.

Allowing these ASR wells to be exempt from the District's Safe Yield Regulation K.A.R. 5-22-7(b) poses a significant threat to the availability of drinking water in rural areas, maintaining the Equus Beds, the ability of local area residents to enjoy the use of their property and is against public policy. The exemption for ASR wells from Safe Yield Regulations (K.A.R. 5-22-7(b) (7)) is discriminatory in giving the City of Wichita preferential treatment over other water users, inconsistent with the Kansas Water Appropriate Act and should be revoked.

4. It is in the public interest to defer any and all decisions to the next administration.

The decision regarding these applications and the City of Wichita Proposal will have a long-lasting impact on the health and livelihood of Kansas residents and the overall health of the Equus Beds. These decisions should not be made by a Chief Engineer and an administration on the way out the door. These critical decisions should be made by an administration with a long-term interest who will be around to deal with the aftermath. Any and all decisions on the above referenced applications and the City of Wichita Proposal should be deferred until the new administration is in place.

Water is a critical resource to sustain life. These new applications will deprive current residents of this limited natural resource, impair property rights and continue the rapid decline of the Kansas rural population. I urge you protect the health and livelihood of Kansas residents over the desire of the City of Wichita to continually increase their profits from the sale of water from the Equus Beds .

Sincerely,

Water Right, File No

48732

Dwaine Carmichael
owner

I JUST PAID A \$5,000 FINE TO THE STATE FOR A SMALL OVER USAGE OF E.A.R. WATER IN 2017. I WAS UNDERUSAGE ON THIS SAME WELL IN 2018 BUT I GOT A DEBIT TO

October 1, 2018

You should have received a letter recently from the State of Kansas informing you of the City of Wichita's application to increase its allocation of water. It had a 15 day deadline for comments, which has been extended until the middle of October. Included is a letter which can be used to reply to the letter you received. For those of you with Internet access, the response can be emailed to _____, as requested by Mr. Schemm, Environmental Scientist with the Division of Water Resources.

I have included an article from the **Newton Now** publication that explains what this application means. Please read it before you decide it isn't important to respond.

This affects everyone along the path of the Equus Beds. It will affect all wells: domestic, agriculture and the Equus Beds. And, drawing down the Equus Beds even further can allow the salt brine from eastern Reno County to creep eastward. The ruling will also set a precedent in Kansas that can affect all of the Groundwater Districts in the state.


Those that attended the meeting held at Halstead to explain the application heard Chief Engineer at Kansas Division of Water Resources, David Barfield, state that he had been working with the City of Wichita to make sure the city's application is approved.

Concern has been shown by many that Mr. Barfield, an employee of the state, would be influenced by the City of Wichita one way or the other. The City of Wichita sells water to 14 other cities. Securing additional water credits will help them continue to sell water to those cities if there is a severe drought. It's one thing needing water for Wichita itself, but, securing water rights so they can make money is another. Yes, everyone needs water, but, several of their customers are in Butler County and they could draw water from the El Dorado Reservoir during drought times. El Dorado is very agreeable to allow access from the reservoir, according to their Director of Public Utilities.

If you would like the response letter emailed to you so you can forward it on to Mr. Schemm, please email me at _____ . If you have any questions my number is 316-215-1170, or call Bill Carp, 316-640-3772 .

Thank you!

Susie - They have yours under George Clark

Sincerely,

Ruth Jacob

RECEIVED

OCT 24 2018

WICHITA WATER PLAN SUCKS TOO MUCH

Editorial From NEWTON NOW

The one thing worse than failing to provide public input, is pretending to provide public input.

That's exactly what the state of Kansas and the City of Wichita are doing in regards to the city's proposal to increase its allocation of water, as well as its draw down limit on the Equus beds.

The state's chief water engineer, David Barfield made a joint presentation with Wichita's Joe Prajer on the proposed changes to a crowd of about 100 in Halstead, last week.

The changes lower the depth that Wichita could draw water credits from the beds by 15 feet, which could interfere with some shallow domestic and agricultural wells in times of drought.

The proposed changes allow Wichita, by not using the aquifer, to accrue ownership on up to 10 percent or 120,000 acre feet of the water stored in its well field. It owns water rights on 40,000 acre feet.

Both changes could affect domestic users, agricultural users, possibly other municipalities like Newton and of course, the beds themselves.

Such changes need an objective judge overseeing them to protect all the beds users.

Instead, Barfield, who is in charge of deciding whether or not to approve Wichita's proposed changes told the crowd he had been working with Wichita to make sure it would be approved.

Uh, what?

Why on earth is a regulator for the state of Kansas working hand in hand with a city to make sure it gets favorable changes to a resource various other municipalities, farmers and domestic well users share?

Is it only Wichita that pays taxes to Kansas? Is it only Wichita that matters?

That was the message sent during the political theatre on the auditorium stage, which would have given Halstead High School's drama department a run for their money.

We are not the only ones that think this plan is goofy. So do members of the county commission, local farmers and local reps with the Kansas Farm Bureau.

Local residents asked questions, they received occasional answers and at the end were told another hearing would be held in August. Objections to Wichita's changes would be weighed with the recommendation of Ground Water Management District 2 and the Chief Engineer would make his decision.

The Chief Engineer, who had just said that he was working with the city to make sure the changes pass.

RECEIVED

OCT 24 2018

Sanford Field Office